



April 16, 2018

**Oppose S.J. Res. 57, the CRA Resolution of Disapproval on Auto Lending Guidance**

Dear Senator:

On behalf of The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, I urge you to oppose S.J. Res. 57, the Congressional Review Act resolution to repeal the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau's (CFPB) guidance on indirect auto financing. The sole purpose of this resolution is to undermine the ability of the CFPB to enforce laws against racial and ethnic discrimination in auto lending. **We plan to include your position on S.J. Res. 57 in our voting records for the 115<sup>th</sup> Congress.**

For at least the past two decades, financial services regulators have been aware of widespread racial and ethnic discrimination in the auto finance marketplace. The CFPB is the first and only regulator to directly address this discrimination by focusing on its underlying cause: dealer interest rate markups, in which car dealers receive a substantial bonus from lenders for increasing the interest rate on car loans, going above the rates for which borrowers otherwise qualify. Specifically, car dealers contact lenders during the course of sales to see who will be willing to buy loans. Lenders respond by sending dealers the interest rates they will accept based on the borrower's risk profile, also called the "buy rate." But the dealer can then add as much as 2-2.5 percent to the buy rate and keep some or all of the difference as compensation. To give a sense of scale, the Center for Responsible Lending (CRL) estimated that consumers who took out car loans in one year would pay as much as \$25 billion in additional interest over the lives of their loans due to these markups.

In the mid-1990s, a series of lawsuits were filed against the largest auto finance companies in the country alleging racial and ethnic discrimination. The data from those lawsuits showed that borrowers of color were twice as likely to have their loans marked up, and paid markups twice as large as similarly situated White borrowers with similar credit ratings.

Because of that history, and with more recent data showing continued discrimination, the CFPB issued guidance in 2013 informing indirect auto lenders that they can ensure compliance with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act by paying compensation to dealers in ways that do not involve manipulations of the interest rate. For lenders that chose to continue allowing dealers to engage in interest rate markups, the guidance recommends other steps they can take to ensure that discrimination does not occur.

In short, the CFPB's guidance acknowledges something we have known for a long time: pricing discretion leads to discrimination. The CFPB's enforcement work with the Department of Justice, accordingly, has netted over \$176 million in restitution and penalties against several lenders. Even after these actions, a January 2018 report by the National Fair Housing Alliance provides compelling evidence that auto lending discrimination remains an ongoing problem.

Officers  
Chair  
Judith L. Lichtman  
National Partnership for  
Women & Families  
Vice Chairs  
Jacqueline Pata  
National Congress of American Indians  
Thomas A. Saenz  
Mexican American Legal  
Defense and Educational Fund  
Hillary Shelton  
NAACP  
Secretary  
Jo Ann Jenkins  
AARP  
Treasurer  
Lee A. Saunders  
American Federation of State,  
County & Municipal Employees

Board of Directors  
Helena Berger  
American Association of  
People with Disabilities  
Kimberly Churches  
AAUW  
Kristen Clarke  
Lawyers' Committee for  
Civil Rights Under Law  
Lily Eskelsen Garcia  
National Education Association  
Fatima Goss Graves  
National Women's Law Center  
Chad Griffin  
Human Rights Campaign  
Mary Kay Henry  
Service Employees International Union  
Sherrilyn Ifill  
NAACP Legal Defense and  
Educational Fund, Inc.  
David H. Inoue  
Japanese American Citizens League  
Derrick Johnson  
NAACP  
Michael B. Keegan  
People for the American Way  
Samer E. Khalaf  
American-Arab  
Anti-Discrimination Committee  
Marc Morial  
National Urban League  
Janet Murguia  
UnidosUS  
Debra L. Ness  
National Partnership for  
Women & Families  
Rabbi Jonah Pesner  
Religious Action Center  
Of Reform Judaism  
Lisa Rice  
National Fair Housing Alliance  
Anthony Romero  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Anisa Tootla  
League of Women Voters of the  
United States  
Richard L. Trumka  
AFL-CIO  
Toni Van Pelt  
National Organization for Women  
Randi Weingarten  
American Federation of Teachers  
Dennis Williams  
International Union, UAW  
John C. Yang  
Asian Americans Advancing Justice |  
AAJC

Policy and Enforcement  
Committee Chair  
Michael Lieberman  
Anti-Defamation League  
President & CEO  
Vanita Gupta



By eliminating the CFPB's guidance, S.J. Res. 57 will undermine the ability of the CFPB to root out discrimination, something that has no place in our lending markets. Congress should be applauding the CFPB's guidance, not trying to repeal it.

S.J. Res. 57 not only undermines civil rights enforcement, but also undermines the CFPB more broadly. While it is certainly the role of Congress to set broad policy goals, as it did with the passage of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Dodd-Frank, the whole point of establishing the CFPB was to allow the details of those policies to be worked out in a process that is less vulnerable to the political manipulation and regulatory inaction that we witnessed in the years before the 2008 financial crisis, and to give consumers a stronger voice than they have before Congress or regulatory agencies. Attempts by Congress to micromanage complicated policy details only serve to undermine the very core of Dodd-Frank's consumer reforms, and they strengthen the hand of those who opposed the creation of the CFPB all along.

For these reasons, I urge you to oppose S.J. Res. 57. If you have any questions, please contact Rob Randhava, Senior Counsel, at (202) 466-3311.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Vanita Gupta'. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large 'V' and 'G'.

Vanita Gupta  
President & CEO