The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights 1620 L Street, NW Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20036 202.466.3311 voice 202.466.3435 fax www.civilrights.org



November 3, 2021

PLEASE SUPPORT THE CLOTURE VOTE ON THE JOHN LEWIS VOTING RIGHTS ADVANCEMENT ACT

Dear Senator:

On behalf of The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, a coalition of more than 230 national organizations committed to promoting and protecting the civil and human rights of all persons in the United States, and the **134 undersigned organizations**, we write in strong support of moving to the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act, to enable consideration of the bipartisan compromise reached by Senators.

The coordinated, anti-democratic campaign to restrict the vote targets the heart of the nation's promise: that every voice and every eligible vote count. For democracy to work for all of us, it must include us all. When certain communities cannot access the ballot and when they are not represented in the ranks of power, our democracy is in peril. State legislatures and local jurisdictions across the country are passing laws or enacting policies that restrict access to the ballot box. The moment is urgent, and Congress must meet the urgency and pass the bipartisan version of the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act.

This bill will restore the essential provision of the Voting Rights Act that prevents the adoption of discriminatory voting practices before they go into effect, establishing a transparent process for protecting the right to vote. It will also restore and strengthen other provisions to help bring down the barriers erected to silence Black, Brown, Native, young, people with disabilities and new Americans and ensure everyone has a voice in the decisions impacting our lives. Importantly, the bill includes the Native American Voting Rights Act (NAVRA), which protects voting rights for Indigenous communities who face myriad, unique challenges to fully participating in our democracy.

Shelby County v. Holder

In 2013, the U.S. Supreme Court's ruling in *Shelby County v. Holder*¹ eviscerated the most powerful provision of the Voting Rights Act: the Section 5 preclearance system. This provision applied to nine states and localities in another six states. These jurisdictions were required to obtain preclearance from the Justice Department or the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia before implementing any change in a voting practice or procedure.

Interim President & CEO Wade Henderson

Officers

NAACP Farhana Khera Muslim Advocates Thomas A. Saenz

Secretary

Treasurer

Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund

Lee A. Saunders American Federation of State,

County & Municipal Employees Board of Directors

Service Employees International Union

Japanese American Citizens League

League of Women Voters of the

Fatima Goss Graves National Women's Law Center

Kimberly Churches AAUW

Alphonso B. David Human Rights Campaign

Jonathan Greenblatt Anti-Defamation League

Civil Rights Under Law Sherrilyn Ifill

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund. Inc.

Mary Kay Henry

Damon Hewitt Lawyers' Committee for

David H. Inoue

Benjamin Jealous People for the American Way

Derrick Johnson NAACP

Virginia Kase

Marc Morial National Urban League

Janet Murguía UnidosUS

Debra L. Ness National Partnership for

Rebecca Pringle

Lisa Rice

Fawn Sharp

Maria Town American Association of People with Disabilities

Richard L. Trumka AFL-CIO

Randi Weingarten

AAJC

Women & Families Christian F. Nunes

Religious Action Center Of Reform Judaism

National Organization for Women Rabbi Jonah Pesner

National Education Association

National Fair Housing Alliance Anthony Romero American Civil Liberties Union

National Congress of American Indians

American Federation of Teachers

John C. Yang Asian Americans Advancing Justice |

United States Samer E. Khalaf American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee

Rory Gamble International Union, UAW

Chair Judith L. Lichtman National Partnership for Women & Families Vice Chairs Derrick Johnson

¹ 570 U.S. 529 (2013).

November 3, 2021 Page 2 of 9



Prior to the Shelby decision, Section 5 was immensely successful in blocking proposed voting restrictions in states and localities with histories of racial discrimination. It also ensured that changes to voting rules were public, transparent, and evaluated to protect voters against discrimination based on race and language. But, in *Shelby County*, Chief Justice John Roberts on behalf of the majority, declared that "Our country has changed." The Court held that the formula identifying jurisdictions subject to preclearance was decades-old and outdated, functionally halting the preclearance requirement. However, the Court invited Congress to assess "current conditions" in order to update the formula for deciding which jurisdictions should be covered by preclearance today.

Despite the best efforts of The Leadership Conference and its many member organizations to protect voting rights and promote civic participation, the impact of over eight years of overt and covert anti-voter tactics has had a devastating and lasting impact. The Supreme Court's invalidation of the preclearance formula released an immediate and sustained flood of new voting restrictions in formerly covered states. Without the Voting Rights Act's tools to fight the most blatant forms of discrimination, people of color continue to face barriers to exercising their most important civil right, including voter intimidation, disenfranchisement laws built on top of a system of mass incarceration, burdensome and costly voter ID requirements, and purges from the voter rolls. States have also cut back early voting opportunities, eliminated same-day voter registration, and shuttered polling places.

The Leadership Conference recently commissioned 13 state reports, which were prepared by our partner civil rights organizations and allies, to document the breadth and depth of recent voting discrimination in 13 states across the country.² These reports powerfully demonstrate that Congress has an urgent imperative to restore the Voting Rights Act. Individually and collectively, they reveal that voting discrimination after *Shelby County* is pervasive, persistent, and adaptive, sometimes taking new forms which are no less pernicious. The reports document voter restrictions passed this year and cited numerous additional examples of recent voting discrimination in these states. This is the "current discrimination" on which Congress must update the preclearance formula and make several additional amendments to the Voting Rights Act so voters of color everywhere can fully participate in the political process. All the state reports have been introduced into the record of the 117th Congress in support of the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act.

Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee

Furthermore, in July, the Supreme Court ruled in *Brnovich v. Democratic National³ Committee⁴* that two discriminatory Arizona voting laws did not violate Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act. In its opinion in *Brnovich*, the Court disregards the congressional purpose of Section 2, which is to provide a powerful means to combat race discrimination in voting nationwide. The majority departs from decades of precedent enforcing Section 2 according to Congress' intent, and it creates new "guideposts" that will ineffectively identify and eradicate discriminatory policies and practices. The decision relies on

² The state reports exhaustively document current voting discrimination in the following states: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Virginia, available at <u>https://andstillivote.org/the-state-of-voting-rights-in-america-reports/</u>.
³ 141 S.Ct. 2321 (2021)

November 3, 2021 Page 3 of 9



a limited interpretation of the Voting Rights Act that will make it more difficult to challenge discriminatory voting laws. This decision underscores the need for Congress to pass the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act and include provisions to restore the legislative intent of Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

Evidence of the Need for the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act

Discriminatory voting practices are not merely the province of those states with a long history of discrimination. Pernicious practices such as voter purging and restrictive identification requirements — which disproportionately affect voters of color — occur in states throughout the nation, and they are growing in number. Shockingly, <u>between January 1 and September 27, 2021</u>, at least 19 states have enacted 33 new laws that restrict our freedom to vote, and more than 425 bills with restrictive provisions have been introduced in 49 states in the 2021 legislative sessions.

During the 117th Congress, the Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Senate Committee on Rules, Senate Committee on Indian Affairs, House Committee on the Judiciary, and the Committee on House Administration have held a total of 19 hearings, listened to testimony from more than one hundred witnesses, and reviewed thousands of pages of reports and documents. These Committees found significant and overwhelming evidence of ongoing barriers to voter participation for people of color and language-minority voters.

The Senate Committee on the Judiciary held six hearings on voting rights:

<u>Restoring The Voting Rights Act: Protecting the Native American and Alaska Native Vote</u> (October 20, 2021); <u>Protecting a Precious, Almost Sacred Right: The John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act.</u> (October 6, 2021); <u>Restoring the Voting Rights Act: Combating Discriminatory Abuses</u> (September 22, 2021); <u>Restoring the Voting Rights Act after Brnovich and Shelby County</u> (July 14, 2021); <u>Supreme</u> <u>Court Fact-Finding and the Distortion of American Democracy</u> (April 27, 2021); <u>Jim Crow 2021: The</u> <u>Latest Assault on the Right to Vote</u> (April 20, 2021).

The Senate Committee on Rules held one hearing:

Emerging Threats To Election Administration (October 26, 2021)

The Senate Committee on Indian Affairs held one hearing:

Oversight Hearing on "Voting Matters in Native Communities" (October 27, 2021)

The House Judiciary Committee, Subcommittee on the Constitution, Civil Rights, and Civil Liberties held six hearings on voting rights:

<u>Oversight of the Voting Rights Act: Potential Legislative Reforms</u> (August 16, 2021); <u>The Need to</u> <u>Enhance the Voting Rights Act: Practice-Based Coverage</u> (July 27, 2021); <u>The Implications of</u> November 3, 2021 Page 4 of 9



<u>Brnovich v. Democratic National Committee and Potential Legislative Responses (July 16, 2021); The</u> <u>Need to Enhance the Voting Rights Act: Preliminary Injunctions, Bail-in Coverage, Election</u> <u>Observers, and Notice</u> (June 29, 2021); <u>Oversight of the Voting Rights Act: A Continuing Record of</u> <u>Discrimination</u> (May 27, 2021); <u>Oversight of the Voting Rights Act: The Evolving Landscape of Voting</u> <u>Discrimination</u> (April 22, 2021).

The House Administration's Subcommittee on Elections held five investigatory hearings with 35 witnesses, collected numerous reports and documents, and released a comprehensive <u>report</u>.

Voting In America: A National Perspective On The Right To Vote, Methods Of Election, Jurisdictional Boundaries, And Redistricting (June 24, 2021); Voting In America: The Potential For Polling Place Quality And Restrictions On Opportunities To Vote To Interfere With Free And Fair Access To The Ballot (June 11, 2021); Voting In America: The Potential For Voter ID Laws, Proof-Of-Citizenship Laws, And Lack Of Multi-Lingual Support To Interfere With Free And Fair Access To The Ballot (May 24, 2021); Voting In America: The Potential For Voter List Purges To Interfere With Free And Fair Access To The Ballot (May 6, 2021); Voting In America: Ensuring Free And Fair Access To The Ballot (April 1, 2021).

The John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act Restores and Modernizes the Voting Rights Act

The Voting Rights Act of 1965 was passed with leadership from both the Republican and Democratic parties, and the reauthorizations of its enforcement provisions were signed into law each time by Republican presidents: President Nixon in 1970, President Ford in 1975, President Reagan in 1982, and President Bush in 2006. For more than half a century, protecting citizens from racial discrimination in voting has been bipartisan work.

The John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act fills a distinct and critical role by responding to the Supreme Court's call to update the preclearance formula which will protect the freedom to vote and ensuring elections are safe and accessible. When it comes to our elections, we all want an open and transparent process we can trust, where Americans have equal freedom to vote, whether we live in a small town or big city, or the coasts or the Midwest. Passage of the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act will fulfill part of that promise of a democracy that works for — and includes — us all.

The John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act would restore the VRA in the following ways:

** The Act would require all states and localities to provide public notice to all voters of certain voting changes.

** The Act would address the *Brnovich* decision by clarifying factors that voters of color can use to prove a vote dilution or vote denial claim under Section 2 of the VRA and restoring voters' full ability to challenge racial discrimination in voting in court. November 3, 2021 Page 5 of 9



** The Act would allow the Department of Justice and voters of color to challenge changes in a voting rule that would make voters of color worse off in terms of their voting rights than the status quo.

** The Act would expand authority for courts to "bail-in" jurisdictions to the preclearance process and would update the ability of jurisdictions to "bail-out" of the preclearance process once they demonstrate a record of not harming voters of color.

** The Act would grant the Department of Justice authority to compel the production of documents relevant to investigations of potential voting rights violations prior to filing an enforcement action.

****** The U.S. Attorney General would have authority to request federal observers anywhere there is a serious threat of racial discrimination in voting.

** The Act would provide voters with additional protection by easing the standard for when courts can temporarily block certain types of voting changes while the change is under review in court. This is important because once a voter is discriminated against in an election, it cannot be undone.

** The Act would incorporate the Native American Voting Rights Act (NAVRA) to ensure equal access to our democracy for Native Americans, Alaska Natives, and voters living on Tribal lands.

Conclusion

In 1965, Congress passed the Voting Rights Act to outlaw racial discrimination in voting, and it became our nation's most successful and consequential civil rights law. Previously, many states barred Black voters from participating in the political system through literacy tests, poll taxes, voter intimidation, and violence. By outlawing the tests and devices that prevented people of color from voting, the Voting Rights Act and its prophylactic preclearance formula put teeth into the 15th Amendment's guarantee that no citizen can be denied the right to vote because of the color of their skin.

For decades, Congressman John Lewis implored his colleagues in Congress to realize the promise of equal opportunity for all in our democratic process. When President Lyndon Johnson signed the Voting Rights Act of 1965, he declared the law a triumph and said, "Today we strike away the last major shackle of ... fierce and ancient bonds."⁴ But 56 years later, the shackles of white supremacy still restrict the full exercise of our rights and freedom to vote. Before his death, John Lewis wrote: "Time is of the essence to preserve the integrity and promises of our democracy."⁵ It is time for Congress to honor the legacy of civil rights icon John Lewis and support the John Lewis Voting Rights Advancement Act. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Jesselyn McCurdy at mccurdy@civilrights.org.

⁴ <u>https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/remarks-the-capitol-rotunda-the-signing-the-voting-rights-act</u>

⁵ <u>https://web.archive.org/web/20200719053551/https://johnlewis.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/rep-john-lewis-demands-doj-action-anniversary-shelby-v-holder-decision</u>

November 3, 2021 Page 6 of 9 The Leadership Conference

Sincerely,

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights A. Phillip Randolph Institute ADL (Anti-Defamation League) AFL-CIO Alliance for Youth Action American Association for Justice American Association of People with Disabilities American Association of University Women (AAUW) American Atheists American Civil Liberties Union American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees American Humanist Association American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee (ADC) Americans for Democratic Action (ADA) Americans for Financial Reform Andrew Goodman Foundation Arab American Institute (AAI) Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum (APIAHF) Asian Americans Advancing Justice - AAJC Asian and Pacific Islander American Vote (APIAVote) Association of University Centers on Disabilities Autistic Self Advocacy Network Bend the Arc Jewish Action Blue Wave Postcard Movement Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law Bridges Faith Initiative Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids Center for Common Ground Center for Community Self-Help Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP) Center for Living & Working, Inc. Center for Popular Democracy Center for Responsible Lending **Clean Elections Texas Clean Water Action** Clearinghouse on Women's Issues Climate Hawks Vote **Climate Reality Project** Coalition on Human Needs Code for America

November 3, 2021 Page 7 of 9



Common Cause Communications Workers of America (CWA) **Community Change Action** DemCast USA Democracy 21 **Democracy Initiative** Demos **Disability Rights Education & Defense Fund** Earthjustice ELCA End Citizens United / Let America Vote Action Fund Equal Justice Society Fair Elections Center Fair Fight Action FairVote Feminist Majority Foundation Fix Democracy First Friends Committee on National Legislation **GLSEN** Greenpeace USA **HBCU** Collective HeadCount **Hispanic Federation** Human Rights Campaign Human Rights First Indivisible International Association of Official Human Rights Agencies (IAOHRA) Issue One Japanese American Citizen's League Jewish Council for Public Affairs Labor Council for Latin American Advancement Lambda Legal LatinoJustice PRLDEF League of Conservation Voters League of Women Voters of the United States Main Street Alliance MALDEF (Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund) Mid-Ohio Valley Climate Action Missouri Voter Protection Coalition Movement Advancement Project NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF) NARAL Pro-Choice America National Action Network

November 3, 2021 Page 8 of 9



National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) National Association of Councils on Developmental Disabilities National Association of Human Rights Workers National Association of Social Workers National CAPACD National Center for Parent Leadership, Advocacy, and Community Empowerment National Center for Transgender Equality National Council of Jewish Women National Council on Independent Living National Disability Rights Network (NDRN) National Employment Law Project National Employment Lawyers Association National Health Law Program National Hispanic Media Coalition National LGBTQ Task Force Action Fund National Organization for Women Natural Resource Defense Council (NRDC) NETWORK Lobby for Catholic Social Justice Our Vote Texas People For the American Way **PFLAG** National Planned Parenthood Action Fund Poverty & Race Research Action Council Project On Government Oversight (POGO) Protect Democracy Public Citizen **Public Justice** Public Wise **Reclaim Our Democracy Rise Up America** Robert F. Kennedy Human Rights Secure Elections Network **SEIU** Sojourners Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) Stand for Children Stand Up America The Civics Center The Sierra Club The United Methodist Church – General Board of Church and Society The Workers Circle **Un-PAC** UnidosUS Union for Reform Judaism

November 3, 2021 Page 9 of 9



Unitarian Universalist Association Unitarian Universalists for Social Justice URGE: Unite for Reproductive & Gender Equity Voices for Progress Vote.org Voto Latino Foundation When We All Vote Wisconsin Democracy Campaign YWCA USA