



**STATEMENT OF SAKIRA COOK, DIRECTOR, JUSTICE REFORM PROGRAM,
THE LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS
HEARING ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF BAIL BY STATE AND FEDERAL
COURTS: A CALL FOR REFORM
HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE ON CRIME, TERRORISM, AND HOMELAND
SECURITY**

November 14, 2019

Chair Bass, Ranking Member Ratcliffe, and members of the Committee:
Thank you for the opportunity to testify about the need for meaningful
bail reform in state and federal court systems, including the need to
eliminate cash bail and reduce pretrial incarceration, without the use of
algorithmic-based risk assessment tools.

We commend the Subcommittee for focusing on the failures of our
current state and federal pretrial systems. These systems are not serving
their original purpose: to ensure that people appear for their court dates.
Instead, they fly in the face of a foundational constitutional principle —
one is innocent until proven guilty. They also rely heavily on money bail
for determining who can and cannot be temporarily released while
awaiting trial. This has created a two-tiered legal system — one where
poor people are detained pretrial because they can't afford to make bail
and wealthier people can walk free.

Pretrial detention is the norm in too many communities. Each year, 12
million people are admitted to jail, and each night, nearly half a million

Officers

Chair

Judith L. Lichtman
National Partnership for
Women & Families
Vice Chairs
Thomas A. Saenz
Mexican American Legal
Defense and Educational Fund
Hilary Shelton
NAACP
Secretary/Treasurer
Lee A. Saunders
American Federation of State,
County & Municipal Employees

Board of Directors

Helena Berger
American Association of
People with Disabilities
Kimberly Churches
AAUW
Kristen Clarke
Lawyers' Committee for
Civil Rights Under Law
Lily Eskelsen Garcia
National Education Association
Fatima Goss Graves
National Women's Law Center
Chad Griffin
Human Rights Campaign
Mary Kay Henry
Service Employees International Union
Sherrilyn Ifill
NAACP Legal Defense and
Educational Fund, Inc.
David H. Inoue
Japanese American Citizens League
Gary Jones
International Union, UAW
Derrick Johnson
NAACP
Virginia Kase
League of Women Voters of the
United States
Michael B. Keegan
People for the American Way
Samer E. Khalaf
American-Arab
Anti-Discrimination Committee
Marc Morial
National Urban League
Janet Murguia
UnidosUS
Debra L. Ness
National Partnership for
Women & Families
Rabbi Jonah Pesner
Religious Action Center
Of Reform Judaism
Lisa Rice
National Fair Housing Alliance
Anthony Romero
American Civil Liberties Union
Ahnivake Rose
National Congress of American Indians
Richard L. Trumka
AFL-CIO
Toni Van Pelt
National Organization for Women
Randi Weingarten
American Federation of Teachers
John C. Yang
Asian Americans Advancing Justice |
AAJC

Policy and Enforcement

Committee Chair

Michael Lieberman
Anti-Defamation League
President & CEO
Vanita Gupta

people sit in jail, awaiting trial. Sixty percent of our jail population is legally innocent and awaiting trial. This pervasive system of pretrial detention has devastating effects, especially on Black and Brown people. Stories like those of Sandra Bland and Kalief Browder show the sometimes-shocking effects of pretrial detention. Pretrial incarceration increases people's likelihood of conviction and their risk of recidivism and leads to overcrowded jails. Even a short period of pretrial detention can have cascading effects — people are at risk of losing jobs, housing, medical care, custody, and relationships.

There are more effective methods than money bail to ensure court appearances. Pretrial support systems can address the structural barriers that keep accused people from appearing at court. They can provide childcare, transportation services, and other non-punitive or for-pay supports. Even simple steps like providing reminder calls or text messages dramatically reduce rates of failed appearances.

Fortunately, places like Washington, D.C., Philadelphia, New York, and New Jersey are successfully moving away from money bail and safely reducing their pretrial populations. But in some instances, jurisdictions have adopted undesirable alternatives, namely the use of pretrial risk assessments.

Risk assessments are actuarial tools that use historical data, both from criminal legal databases and demographic factors, to attempt to “forecast” an individual's likelihood of appearance at trial and/or risk of rearrest for a new crime. Research has shown, however, that these algorithms reflect current biases within the criminal legal system because they have been created with flawed data — such as prior failures to appear and arrest rates — and, as a result, are profoundly limited.

Champions of these tools argue that they are evidence-based and can provide judges high-quality “objective” data that will help them make their jail populations smaller without putting public safety at risk. But independent studies have shown that many jurisdictions using risk assessments have actually increased pretrial incarceration, and none have reduced racial disparities in pretrial decision-making. A group of data scientists recently wrote in a letter to this committee. I quote, “[Pretrial risk assessment tools] suffer from serious methodological flaws that undermine their accuracy, validity, and effectiveness. Pretrial risk assessments do not guarantee or even increase the likelihood of better pretrial outcomes. The technical problems with these tools cannot be resolved, and their limitations disproportionately affect communities of color.”

These concerns led The Leadership Conference to [publish a statement of concern](#), signed by more than 100 civil rights, data science, and community-based organizations. The statement argued that risk assessment tools were deeply flawed, skewed based on race and socioeconomic status, and therefore should not be used when making detention decisions. We believe that jurisdictions can safely end money bail and release most accused people pretrial, without the use of risk assessment tools.

Members of Congress: we need a new pretrial framework, one that dramatically reduces detention, ends racial and other inequities, and abolishes wealth-based discrimination. Federal legislation can help by incentivizing states to end money bail, use alternatives to arrest and prosecution for minor offenses, and preserve the presumption of innocence by establishing robust due process protections, all

November 14, 2019
Page 4 of 4



without the use of risk assessment instruments. We look forward to working with the members of this subcommittee to meet these goals.

Thank you.