



March 17, 2022

**Officers**

**Chair**  
Judith L. Lichtman  
National Partnership for  
Women and Families  
**Vice Chairs**  
Derrick Johnson  
NAACP  
Thomas A. Saenz  
Mexican American Legal  
Defense and Educational Fund  
**Secretary**  
Fatima Goss Graves  
National Women's Law Center  
**Treasurer**  
Lee A. Saunders  
American Federation of State,  
County and Municipal Employees

**Board of Directors**

Gloria L. Blackwell  
AAUW  
Ray Curry  
International Union, UAW  
Jocelyn Frye  
National Partnership for  
Women and Families  
Jonathan Greenblatt  
Anti-Defamation League  
Mary Kay Henry  
Service Employees International Union  
Damon Hewitt  
Lawyers' Committee for  
Civil Rights Under Law  
Sherrilyn Ifill  
NAACP Legal Defense and  
Educational Fund, Inc.  
David H. Inoue  
Japanese American Citizens League  
Benjamin Jealous  
People for the American Way  
Virginia Kase Solomon  
League of Women Voters of the  
United States  
Samer E. Khalaf  
American-Arab  
Anti-Discrimination Committee  
Joni Madison  
Human Rights Campaign  
Marc Morial  
National Urban League  
Janet Murguía  
UnidosUS  
Christian F. Nunes  
National Organization for Women  
Rabbi Jonah Pesner  
Religious Action Center  
of Reform Judaism  
Rebecca Pringle  
National Education Association  
Lisa Rice  
National Fair Housing Alliance  
Anthony Romero  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Liz Shuler  
AFL-CIO  
Fawn Sharp  
National Congress of American Indians  
Maria Town  
American Association of  
People with Disabilities  
Randi Weingarten  
American Federation of Teachers  
John C. Yang  
Asian Americans Advancing Justice |  
AAJC

**Interim President and CEO**  
Wade Henderson

**SUPPORT H.R. 963,  
THE FORCED ARBITRATION INJUSTICE REPEAL (FAIR) ACT**

Dear Representative,

On behalf of The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, a coalition charged by its diverse membership of more than 230 organizations to promote and protect the civil and human rights of all persons in the United States, we write in strong support of H.R. 963, the Forced Arbitration Injustice Repeal (FAIR) Act. **We urge you to vote YES on final passage of this bill, and plan to include that vote in The Leadership Conference's Voting Record for the 117th Congress.**

The FAIR Act would prohibit corporations from forcing working people and consumers into pre-dispute forced arbitration agreements and class action waivers, which are hidden in many non-negotiable employment and consumer contracts. These agreements allow large employers, insurers, lenders, and financial services companies to consistently tip the scales in their favor at the expense of everyday working people and consumers by forcing individuals to give up their right to access to the courts if they wish to begin a job, open a credit card account, obtain a loan, receive nursing home services, use a cell phone, or access other critical goods and services.

Pre-dispute forced arbitration agreements and class action waivers harm working people and consumers while allowing corporations to escape accountability for wrongdoing. Forced arbitration clauses are written to benefit corporations: they select the arbitrators, pick the rules, choose the state in which the proceeding will occur, and decide the payment terms. Private arbitration lacks guaranteed due process protections and proceedings are secret. Given this context, it is unsurprising that employees and consumers are less likely to obtain relief through arbitration and generally receive lower damage awards than in court.<sup>1</sup> Yet, current law allows corporations to force hundreds of millions of people to give up their right to access the courts, making it difficult, if not impossible, for everyday people to enforce the federal and state laws that were enacted to protect them from abuse and discrimination. Faced with these hurdles, many individuals decide not to try to enforce their rights at all, leaving corporations with no incentive to follow the law or to address consumer or worker claims quickly and fairly.

---

<sup>1</sup> Heidi Shierholz, Economic Policy Institute, Correcting the Record: Consumers Fare Better under Class Actions than Arbitration (Aug. 1, 2017), <https://www.epi.org/publication/correcting-the-record-consumers-fare-better-underclass-actions-than-arbitration/>. Katherine V.W. Stone & Alexander J.S. Colvin, Economic Policy Institute, The Arbitration Epidemic: Mandatory Arbitration Deprives Workers and Consumer of Their Rights (Dec. 7, 2015), <https://www.epi.org/files/2015/arbitration-epidemic.pdf>.

In addition to making people more vulnerable to abuse by bad corporate actors, forced arbitration agreements and class action waivers are an impediment to the enjoyment of basic civil and human rights. More than half of non-union, private-sector employers require their employees to enter into forced arbitration agreements.<sup>2</sup> As a result, millions of working people do not have access to the courts to enforce their rights under all types of employment and civil rights statutes, including Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the Fair Labor Standards Act, and more.

These employment and civil rights statutes are more important than ever for women and communities of color during the COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic has caused a national public health and economic crisis, but not everyone in America has been impacted the same way. Communities that were already marginalized by structural barriers to equal opportunities — Black and Brown people, women, immigrants, seniors, people with disabilities, and other groups — have been hardest hit by the pandemic and are otherwise particularly vulnerable to discrimination, fraud, unsafe working conditions, and abuse. This makes our nation’s federal civil rights and worker protection laws even more essential to safeguard fairness, safety, and dignity.

Yet, the most vulnerable working people are also the most likely to be trapped into forced arbitration agreements that undermine the ability to enforce these very laws. Forced arbitration is much more common among the lowest-paid workforces, and industries that have disproportionate numbers of women workers and Black workers are more prone to impose forced arbitration. Overall, a pre-pandemic study showed that 59 percent of Black workers and 58 percent of women workers have no way to enforce their rights outside of arbitration processes that are controlled by their employers.<sup>3</sup>

Forced arbitration has also played a role in allowing sexual harassment and violence to fester. In recent years, thousands of individuals have been inspired by #MeToo to share their experiences and demand justice, but too often, when victims spoke up about misconduct, harassment, and sexual violence experienced at work,<sup>4</sup> or at the spa,<sup>5</sup> or while a patient in a nursing home,<sup>6</sup> or while using app-based transportation services,<sup>7</sup> they discovered that they had unknowingly given up their right to access the courts and would be forced into secret, private arbitration proceedings. We know, however, that when individuals share their experiences, and when bad actors are held accountable, it gives others the courage to come forward and incentivizes corporations to remedy abuse and engage in prevention. Forced arbitration, however, impedes transparency by forcing victims into arbitration when their rights have been

---

<sup>2</sup> Alexander J.S. Colvin, Economic Policy Institute, The Growing Use of Mandatory Arbitration: Access to the Courts Is Now Barred for More than 60 Million American Workers (Apr. 6, 2018), <https://www.epi.org/publication/the-growing-use-of-mandatory-arbitration-access-to-the-courts-is-now-barred-formore-than-60-million-american-workers/>.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

<sup>4</sup> See e.g., Erin Mulvaney, New York’s #MeToo Arbitration Law Faces Appeals Court Battles, Bloomberg Law (Jan. 11, 2021), <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/daily-labor-report/new-yorks-metoo-arbitration-law-faces-appeals-court-battles>.

<sup>5</sup> See e.g., Brooks Jarosz, Fears Loom that Sexual Assault Cases Involving Massage Envy Will Remain Private, KTVU Fox 2 (Dec. 22, 2018), <https://www.ktvu.com/news/fears-loom-that-sexual-assault-cases-involving-massage-envy-will-remain-private>.

<sup>6</sup> See e.g., Haley Sweetland Edwards, An 87-Year-Old Nun Said She Was Raped in Her Nursing Home. Here’s Why She Couldn’t Sue., Time (Nov. 16, 2017), <https://time.com/5027063/87-year-old-nun-said-she-was-raped-in-her-nursing-home/>.

<sup>7</sup> See e.g., Yuki Noguchi, Under Pressure, Uber Drops Arbitration Requirement for Sexual Assault Victims, NPR (May 15, 2018), <https://www.npr.org/2018/05/15/611230115/under-pressure-uber-drops-arbitration-requirement-for-sexual-assault-victims>.



violated. The Leadership Conference welcomed passage by Congress this year of H.R. 4445, the Ending Forced Arbitration of Sexual Assault and Sexual Harassment Act, as an important first step to address this issue. We urge the House to take comprehensive action by passing the FAIR Act.

Additionally, the use of class action waivers also substantially diminishes access to justice. Of employees subject to forced arbitration, nearly half are also subject to a class action waiver, making it nearly impossible to address systemic discrimination or widespread violations of law.<sup>8</sup> Class actions have helped to level the playing field against bad actors for thousands of women and people of color and can provide relief specifically designed to remedy large scale rights violations and change how corporations do business.<sup>9</sup> Without the availability of class actions, many individuals are unlikely to file claims to enforce their rights, preventing accountability and transparency and blocking a pathway for reform.

Given the harm of pre-dispute forced arbitration agreements and class action waivers, it is imperative that Congress act to protect access to justice in the courts. We urge you to support the FAIR Act and restore the ability of working people and consumers to choose how to enforce their rights. If you have any questions, please contact Josh Boxerman, policy analyst, at [boxerman@civilrights.org](mailto:boxerman@civilrights.org).

Sincerely,

Wade Henderson  
Interim President and CEO

Jesselyn McCurdy  
Executive Vice President for Government Affairs

---

<sup>8</sup> Heidi Shierholz, Economic Policy Institute, Correcting the Record: Consumers Fare Better under Class Actions than Arbitration (Aug. 1, 2017), <https://www.epi.org/publication/correcting-the-record-consumers-fare-better-underclass-actions-than-arbitration/>.

<sup>9</sup> Center for Justice & Democracy, Civil Rights Class Actions: A Singularly Effective Tool to Combat Discrimination (Jan. 6, 2014), <https://centerjd.org/content/fact-sheet-civil-rights-class-actions-singularly-effectivetool-combat-discrimination>.