

May 10, 2022

SUPPORT S. 4132, THE WOMEN'S HEALTH PROTECTION ACT OF 2022

Dear Senator:

On behalf of The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights, a coalition charged by its diverse membership of more than 230 national organizations to promote and protect the civil and human rights of all persons in the United States, and the 93 undersigned organizations, we write in support of S. 4132, the Women's Health Protection Act of 2022. We urge all senators to vote in favor of the bill. The Leadership Conference will include this vote in its Voting Record for the 117th Congress.

This issue is one of grave urgency for the civil and human rights community and for people across the United States. A draft Supreme Court opinion in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization*, which became public last week, indicates that a majority of justices are prepared to overturn the constitutional right to abortion established in *Roe v. Wade* and reaffirmed in *Planned Parenthood v. Casey*. If the draft decision prevails, as many as 28 states could ban or further limit abortion in the near future, which would block more than half the people in the United States who could become pregnant from their right to an abortion. We have arrived at this perilous moment after a decades-long campaign by wealthy and powerful interests to rig the judiciary and stack our courts with extremists, including Supreme Court justices selected with the express purpose of overturning decades of legal precedent and ending legal abortion. While this decision has not yet been issued and abortion remains legal in the United States, immediate congressional action is imperative for the ability of people who can become pregnant to control their own bodies, lives, and futures.

Officers
Chair
Judith L. Lichtman
National Partnership for
Women and Families
Vice Chairs
Derrick Johnson
NAACP
Thomas A. Saenz
Mexican American Legal
Defense and Educational Fund
Secretary
Fatima Goss Graves
National Women's Law Center

Treasurer
Lee A. Saunders
American Federation of State,
County and Municipal Employees

American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees Board of Directors

Gloria L. Blackwell AAUW Ray Curry International Union, UAW Jocelyn Frye National Partnership for

National Partnership for Women and Families Jonathan Greenblatt Anti-Defamation League Mary Kay Henry

Service Employees International Union Damon Hewitt Lawyers' Committee for

Civil Rights Under Law Sherrilyn Ifill NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.

David H. Inoue Japanese American Citizens League Benjamin Jealous

People for the American Way Virginia Kase Solomón League of Women Voters of the United States Samer E. Khalaf American-Arab

Anti-Discrimination Committee
Joni Madison
Human Rights Campaign
Marc Morial
National Urban League

Janet Murguía UnidosUS Christian F. Nunes National Organization for Women Rabbi Jonah Pesner Religious Action Center

of Reform Judaism

Rebecca Pringle
National Education Association
Lisa Rice
National Fair Housing Alliance

Anthony Romero
American Civil Liberties Union
Liz Shuller
AFL-ClO
Fawn Sharp
National Congress of American Indians

Maria Town
American Association of
People with Disabilities
Randi Weingarten

American Federation of Teachers
John C. Yang

Asian Americans Advancing Justice | AAJC

President and CEO Maya Wiley ¹ Josh Gerstein and Alexander Ward, "Supreme Court has voted to overturn abortion rights, draft opinion shows," *POLITICO*. May 2, 2022. https://www.politico.com/news/2022/05/02/supreme-court-abortion-draft-opinion-00029473.

² Weiyi Cai, Taylor Johnston, Allison McCann, and Amy Schoenfeld Walker, "Half of U.S. Women Risk Losing Abortion Access Without Roe, *The New York Times*. May 7, 2022. https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2022/05/07/us/abortion-access-roe-v-wade.html.

³ Michael Scherer, Josh Dawsey, Caroline Kitchener, and Rachel Roubein, "A 49-year crusade: Inside the movement to overturn Roe v. Wade," *The Washington Post*. May 7, 2022. https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/05/07/abortion-movement-roe-wade/.

⁴ Mark Berman, "Trump promised judges who would overturn Roe v. Wade," *The Washington Post*. March 21, 2017.

<a href="https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/2017/live-updates/trump-politics/20



By protecting abortion access from medically unnecessary restrictions that obstruct the right of all persons to obtain safe, legal abortion services, the Women's Health Protection Act (WHPA) seeks to remedy and prevent the onslaught of state-level abortion bans and restrictions that cause significant and sometimes insurmountable challenges to receiving abortion care. These restrictions disproportionately impact the ability of low-income women and women of color to access health care, robs pregnant people of bodily autonomy, and threatens the economic security of families and individuals, many of whom are already struggling to get by.

We are disappointed that S. 4132 removes the findings and purpose section of the version of WHPA that the Senate considered earlier this year.⁵ That section grounded the bill in a vision of reproductive justice — the human right to maintain bodily autonomy, to have children, to not have children, and to parent the children we have in safe and sustainable communities. The findings highlighted the white supremacist and misogynistic roots of abortion restrictions and bans. Equal access to abortion care — everywhere is essential to social and economic participation, reproductive autonomy, and the right to determine our own lives. Every person deserves to have the ability to make the healthcare decisions that are right for them, and every person must be able to make their own decisions about having children, free from government interference and discrimination. We know that laws that restrict access to abortion cause the most harm to those people who, because of structural racism, ableism, and existing inequities, already have limited access to resources, already struggle to achieve economic security, and already face sometimes life-threatening health disparities. At the most basic level, restrictive abortion laws are aimed at controlling who can exercise their rights and who can claim agency over their bodies. As such, these laws are an affront to human dignity that perpetuate systems of oppression and prevent the full enjoyment of civil and human rights, and Congress ought to recognize them as such. Nonetheless, at this critical moment for our nation, we urge you to support this version of WHPA with identical operative language.

Even with *Roe* and *Casey* in place, abortion rights and access have been steadily under attack. Despite large public support for access to abortion, ⁶ lawmakers across 19 states enacted 108 restrictions on abortion in 2021 — including the Mississippi law at issue in *Dobbs* — and 37 more have been enacted so far this year in 10 states. ⁷ States have also continued to enact or introduce legislation that restricts access to medication abortion, imposes medically unnecessary restrictions on abortion clinics, or singles out abortion providers for burdensome restrictions not applied to other healthcare providers. ⁸ Today, nearly 90 percent of U.S. counties have no abortion provider, ⁹ forcing people to incur onerous costs to travel long distances for care, or pushing care entirely out of reach.

The potential decision in *Dobbs* would overrule almost 50 years of legal abortion and open the floodgates for further enactment and implementation of these laws. They are a threat to the economic security,

⁵ H.R. 3755, Women's Health Protection Act of 2021, https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/3755.

⁶ Hart Research Associates, New Poll: A Solid Majority of Voters Support the Women's Health Protection Act (2021). January 2021. https://actforwomen.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/WHPA-2021-Survey.pdf.

⁷ Elizabeth Nash, Lauren Cross, and Joerg Dreweke, "2022 State Legislative Sessions: Abortion Bans and Restrictions on Medication Abortion Dominate," *Guttmacher Institute*. May 6, 2022. https://www.guttmacher.org/article/2022/03/2022-state-legislative-sessions-abortion-bans-and-restrictions-medication-abortion.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ Guttmacher Institute, Data Center, https://data.guttmacher.org/states (accessed May 10, 2022).



health, and dignity of low-income people, women of color, immigrants, LGBTQ individuals, people with disabilities, and others who — because of a history of structural inequality and discrimination — already have difficulty accessing reproductive healthcare services. 10 These laws contribute to clinic closures and abortion deserts, which increase the costs of obtaining an abortion 11 and build on the systemic inequality already faced by Black people who have diminished access to networks and resources to overcome financial obstacles to accessing care. Black women are half as likely to be able to travel 25 to 50 miles for abortion care than White women, who tend to have more financial resources, information, and social networks that allow them to travel. 12 Further, restrictions on accessing abortion, in addition to public funding bans, mean that low-income people and many women of color have to choose between receiving abortion care and paying their rent, purchasing food, or paying for other basic necessities. Women who are denied abortions are more likely to experience poor health outcomes, including maternal death, as compared to women who receive abortions, a trend that is particularly concerning for Black women who are up to four times more likely to experience pregnancy-related death than White women. ¹³ People with disabilities, who already have to fight for autonomy at every level, encounter increased risks of pregnancy-related complications and maternal mortality. 14 Women who are denied an abortion and forced to bear a child are also four times more likely to fall into poverty. 15 Conversely, abortion access has been shown to increase women's participation in the workforce, particularly for Black women, and has led to gains in educational attainment. 16

The Women's Health Protection Act would work toward a future where all of us are free to make the personal decisions that shape our lives, our futures, and our families. It is an important step in ending these harmful laws and promoting the health, economic security, and well-being of those whom we have forced through law and policy to live at the margins. With so much on the line, Congress must act decisively to protect our rights.

Thank you for your consideration of our views. Please contact Kanya Bennett, managing director of government affairs (<u>bennett@civilrights.org</u>), June Zeitlin, senior advisor (<u>zeitlin@civilrights.org</u>), or Josh Boxerman, policy analyst (<u>boxerman@civilrights.org</u>) with any questions.

¹⁰ Usha Ranji et. al., "Beyond the Numbers: Access to Reproductive Health Care for Low-Income Women in Five Communities," *Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Management Associates*. November 14, 2019. https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/report/beyond-the-numbers-access-to-reproductive-health-care-for-low-income-women-in-five-communities.
¹¹ "Targeted Regulation of Abortion Providers," *Guttmacher Institute*. https://www.guttmacher.org/evidence-you-can-

use/targeted-regulation-abortion-providers-trap-laws.

¹² Liza Fuentes and Jenna Jerman, "Distance Traveled to Obtain Clinical Abortion Care in the United States and Reasons for Clinic Choice," *Journal of Women's Health*. December 28, 2019. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31282804.

¹³ National Partnership for Women & Families and In Our Own Voice: National Black Women's Reproductive Justice Agenda, "Maternal Health and Abortion Restrictions: How Lack of Access to Quality Care is Harming Black Women." October 2019. https://www.nationalpartnership.org/our-work/resources/repro/maternal-health-and-abortion.pdf.

¹⁴ Willi Horner-Johnson et. al., "Severe maternal morbidity and other perinatal complications among women with physical, sensory, or intellectual and developmental disabilities," *Pediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. April 18, 2022. https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ppe.12873.

¹⁵ Diana Greene Foster et al., "Socioeconomic Outcomes of Women Who Receive and Women Who Are Denied Wanted Abortions in the United States," *American Journal of Public Health*. February 7, 2018. *available at* https://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304247.

¹⁶ Kelly Jones and Anna Bernstein, "The Economic Effects of Abortion Access: A Review of the Evidence," *Institute for Women's Policy Research*. July 2019. https://iwpr.org/publications/economic-effects-abortion-access-fact-sheet.



Sincerely,

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights

African American Ministers In Action

American Association of People with Disabilities (AAPD)

American Association of University Women

American Atheists

American Civil Liberties Union

American Federation of Teachers

American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee (ADC)

Americans for Democratic Action (ADA)

Americans United for Separation of Church and State

Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum (APIAHF)

Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund (AALDEF)

Association of Asian Pacific Community Health Organizations (AAPCHO)

Autistic Self Advocacy Network

Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law

Bend the Arc: Jewish Action

Catholics for Choice

Center for Disability Rights

Center for Faith, Justice, and Reconciliation

Center for Law and Social Policy (CLASP)

Clearinghouse on Women's Issues

Climate Hawks Vote

Defending Rights & Dissent

DemCast USA

Democracy Initiative

Disability Rights Education and Defense Fund (DREDF)

Earthjustice

EHD Advisory

Empowering Pacific Islander Communities - EPIC

End Citizens United//Let America Vote Action Fund

Equal Justice Society

Equal Rights Advocates

Equality California

Family Equality

Feminist Majority Foundation

Friends of the Earth

Hispanic Federation

Human Rights Campaign

Human Rights First

Immigration Hub

Impact Fund



In Our Own Voice: National Black Women's Reproductive Justice Agenda

Indivisible

Japanese American Citizens League

Jewish Council for Public Affairs (JCPA)

Lambda Legal

LatinoJustice PRLDEF

Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights Under Law

League of Conservation Voters

League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC)

MALDEF

Matthew Shepard Foundation

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc.(LDF)

NARAL Pro-Choice America

National Action Network

National Association of Social Workers

National Black Justice Coalition

National Center for Lesbian Rights

National Center for Transgender Equality

National Council of Asian Pacific Americans (NCAPA)

National Council of Jewish Women

National Employment Law Project

National Network to End Domestic Violence

National Organization for Women

National Partnership for Women & Families

National Urban League

National Women's Law Center

NextGen America

Nuclear Information and Resource Service

Oxfam America

People For the American Way

PFLAG National

PolicyLink

Population Connection Action Fund

Public Citizen

Public Justice

Robert F. Kennedy Human Rights

Samuel DeWitt Proctor Conference

Service Employees International Union (SEIU)

Silver State Equality-Nevada

Southern Echo Inc.

Take Back the Court Action Fund

Take on Wall Street

The Workers Circle

May 10, 2022 Page 6 of 6



True Colors United

Union for Reform Judaism

URGE: Unite for Reproductive and Gender Equity

Voto Latino

Women Donors Network Action

Women Employed

Women Lawyers On Guard Action Network, Inc.

Women of Reform Judaism

World Without Genocide at Mitchell Hamline School of Law

YWCA USA