



## Introduction

The first session of the 110th Congress opened with the promise of, and the opportunity for, important progress on civil rights issues. The civil rights coalition's agenda included bills to combat discrimination in the workplace, strengthen existing laws to assist law enforcement in prosecuting hate crimes, provide voting representation in Congress for residents of the District of Columbia, and provide a chance for significant progress in achieving comprehensive immigration reform. Instead, we faced more gridlock, more hyper-partisanship, and fewer solutions to today's problems of inequality of opportunity for all Americans.

Nonetheless, in the House, there was significant advancement on two important bills to address the continuing problem of discrimination in the workplace. On July 31, 2007, the House successfully passed the Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act, as a response to the Supreme Court's decision in *Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber*. The Act amends Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 to make clear that each time an employee receives a discriminatory paycheck it is an act of discrimination actionable under the relevant statutes. In November 2007, the House passed the Employment Non-Discrimination Act (ENDA), which would, in most cases, make it illegal for an employer to fire, refuse to hire, or deny a promotion to a worker on the basis of sexual orientation.

The House also passed meaningful legislation to protect America's workers, including an increase in the federal minimum wage, the first such increase in 10 years, and the enactment of the Employee Free Choice Act of 2007, which would restore the freedom of workers to form a union in an atmosphere free from employer coercion. The civil rights community also scored an historic victory in April 2007 with House passage of the District of Columbia Voting Rights Act of 2007, which would provide citizens who reside in the District of Columbia with a voting member in the U.S. House of Representatives.

Yet, despite this success, the House failed to act in support of several important civil rights priorities. In September 2007,

the Senate passed (60-39) the Local Law Enforcement Hate Crimes Prevention Act (LLEHCPA) as an amendment to the Department of Defense authorization (DOD) bill. Although the House passed LLEHCPA as a free-standing bill by a wide margin in May, the failure to retain it in the DOD package doomed its enactment this year. This bill would have expanded the federal government's ability to assist states in their prosecution of hate crimes and would authorize federal prosecution of hate crimes based on sexual orientation, disability or gender. The House also failed to enact comprehensive immigration reform, consigning millions of American workers to remain in the shadows of our economy, with less stability for them and a negative impact on American workers generally.

In theory, the Senate can act on most bills by a vote of a simple majority. However, the reality in the Senate of the 110th Congress is that 60 votes are needed to pass just about anything. President Bush issued 80 veto threats this year, making movement of meaningful legislation that much more difficult. A good example of this is the failure of the D.C. voting rights bill. While the bill was supported by a significant majority of senators, it failed to garner the 60 votes needed to invoke "cloture" and end debate. Thus, opponents succeeded in killing the bill, even though a majority of senators supported it. The same was true of the Employee Free Choice Act: it was supported by a majority of senators (51-48), but killed by a minority. And while the Senate did retain the Local Law Enforcement Hate Crime Prevention Act in the DOD authorization bill, passed an increase in the minimum wage, and beat back an onerous voter ID bill by a slim margin, it has so far failed to pass the Employment Non-Discrimination Act (ENDA), and a fix for the *Ledbetter* pay discrimination decision.

The Senate also failed to do anything on one of the highest profile policy debates of the year – comprehensive immigration reform – even though Americans on all sides of the issue agree that the nation's immigration system is badly broken and in need of repair. After months of negotiations, a bipartisan group of senators offered a measure which, among other things, would give long-term undocumented immigrants a chance to earn

legal status while providing strong yet humane enforcement. A minority of anti-immigrant restrictionist forces in the Senate, however, were able to block it from full consideration, effectively dooming the prospects for any immigration reform in the 110th Congress.

The 110th Congress also failed to do its job to ensure that members of the federal judiciary are committed to protecting the civil and constitutional rights of all Americans. By confirming Leslie Southwick to a lifetime appointment to the U.S. Court of Appeals, for the Fifth Circuit, Congress struck a serious blow against fairness and equal justice.

As the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights (LCCR) looks toward the second session of the 110th Congress, our hope is for more progress on key civil rights issues. Congress must fulfill this unfinished agenda. Members must work together for the benefit of the American people, and not see issues through only a narrow partisan agenda. While Congress cannot escape politics, politics should be a means, not an end, in the legislative process.

### About the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights

LCCR is the nation's oldest, largest, and most diverse civil and human rights coalition. Founded in 1950, LCCR is currently made up of nearly 200 organizations representing persons of color, women, children, labor unions, individuals with disabilities, older Americans, major religious groups, gays and lesbians, and civil liberties and human rights groups. LCCR works to effect meaningful legislation, policies, and executive branch appointments, and to ensure the proper enforcement of civil rights laws to unite us as a nation true to its promise of equal justice, equal opportunity, and mutual respect.

### Reading the LCCR Voting Record

Based on these votes, each member of Congress earns a percentage rating for support of LCCR priorities. *This rating cannot indicate the full extent of a legislator's support for or opposition to LCCR positions and represents neither endorsement nor condemnation of any member of Congress.*

LCCR has taken a sample of bills considered during the first session of the 110th Congress. The *LCCR Voting Record* was created with the bills in this sample. While it is difficult to predict the legislation that will be enacted at the end of the

session, this sample of bills that have already been considered reflects how members of Congress have aligned with LCCR priority areas from the beginning of the 110th Congress through December 21, 2007.

A vote in accordance with LCCR's position is a "+" vote; a vote contrary to LCCR's position is a "-" vote. An "x" indicates a ye or nay vote was not cast. An "I" indicates the member of Congress was not in office for the full term. Rep. Juanita Millender-McDonald, D. Calif., died in April 2007; Rep. Laura Richardson, D. Calif., won a special election in August 2007; Rep. Charlie Norwood, R. Ga., died February 2007; Rep. Paul Broun, R. Ga., won a special election in July 2007; Rep. J. Dennis Hastert, R. Ill., resigned in November 2007; Rep. Julia Carson, D. Ind., died December 2007; Rep. Martin Meehan, D. Mass., resigned in July 2007; Rep. Niki Tsongas, D. Mass., won a special election in October 2007; Rep. Paul Gillmor, R. Ohio, died in September 2007; Rep. Bob Latta, R. Ohio, won a special election December 2007; Rep. Jo Ann Davis, R. Va., died in October 2007; and Rep. Rob Wittman, R. Va., won a special election December 2007. Sen. Craig Thomas, R. Wyo., died June 2007.

The votes of the District of Columbia (DC) delegate do not appear in the *LCCR Voting Record* because although D.C. residents must pay federal taxes, they are not given voting representation in Congress.

The *LCCR Voting Record* for the 110th Congress reflects positions taken by every senator and representative on the legislative priorities of LCCR and its coalition members.

The *Voting Record* reflects only roll call votes that were officially recorded on the floor of the U.S. Senate or House of Representatives.

For more information, please contact LCCR's Public Policy Department at 202.466.3311.

## House Votes

**Immigration****Restriction on Jurisdiction of Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (H.R. 3093)**

During consideration of an appropriations measure to fund the Departments of Commerce and Justice and several other agencies, Rep. Cliff Stearns (R-FL) offered an amendment that would prohibit the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) from taking action against employers with “English-only” policies in the workplace.

LCCR opposed the amendment. The EEOC’s existing policy strikes a fair and sensible balance: it allows employers to adopt English-only rules when they can be justified by business necessity, such as when employees must deal with customers, in communications with management, or during emergencies. Because language ability closely reflects national origin, however, the EEOC rightly views more expansive English-only rules – i.e. ones that are not necessary for business operations – as inherently discriminatory. By preventing the EEOC from taking action against such policies, the Stearns amendment would open the doors to discrimination on the basis of national origin, and severely undercut the EEOC’s vital mission.

*The Stearns amendment was defeated (202-212). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 734 (7/26/07).*

**Requiring State & Local Governments to Share Information about Immigrants (H.R. 2638)**

During consideration of a bill to fund the Department of Homeland Security, Rep. Tom Tancredo (R-CO) offered an amendment that would prohibit any money in the bill from being spent on state or local governments, if they have restrictions on sharing information with the federal government about an individual’s immigration status.

LCCR opposed the amendment, as it would force state and local police officers to double as federal immigration agents, without providing them with the extensive training necessary for such a role. It would also undermine law enforcement efforts, as undocumented immigrants would be far less likely to

report crimes, if they fear being turned over by local police to immigration authorities. Rather than enact measures that treat hardworking immigrants like criminals, Congress should focus its efforts on enacting comprehensive immigration reform.

*The House rejected the amendment (189-234). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 485 (6/15/07).*

**Voting Rights****Voting Rights for District of Columbia Residents (H.R. 1905)**

In April 2007, the House considered H.R. 1905, the “District of Columbia House Voting Rights Act of 2007” (“DC VRA”). The DC VRA would provide District of Columbia Residents with a voting member in the U.S. House of Representatives. D.C. residents are currently represented by a nonvoting delegate. At the same time, the DC VRA would provide Utah with an additional House seat, increasing its delegation from three to four members. This increase, in addition to keeping either political party from gaining an advantage in Congress from the bill, would ensure that the Utah congressional delegation more accurately reflects the size of the state’s population.

LCCR supported the measure. The right to vote for those who make and enforce laws – the antidote to the evil of “taxation without representation” – is the most important right that citizens have in any democracy. Yet for more than 200 years, and even though they pay taxes and meet all the other responsibilities of citizenship, D.C. residents have been denied this right. In addition, since last reapportionment of House seats in 2001, because Utah’s population was not properly counted in the 2000 census, its citizens have not been represented as fully as they deserve. The DC VRA would address both problems, and would do so in a constitutional manner.

*The House passed H.R. 1905 (241-177). A vote for it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 231 (4/19/07).*

## Affirmative Action

### Fiscal 2008 Defense Appropriations, Affirmative Action in Federal Contracting (H.R. 3222)

Rep. Walberg, (R-MI), proposed an amendment that purported to bar the use of funds in the Defense Appropriations bill to award a grant or contract based on the race, ethnicity or gender of the grant applicant or prospective contractor. While sounding benign, the amendment was intended to end the Department of Defense's use of affirmative action as a tool to ensure that small businesses owned by minorities and women are given a fair and realistic chance to compete for DOD-funded contracts and subcontracts.

LCCR opposed the amendment because overwhelming evidence from the contracting industry demonstrates that affirmative action in federal contracting is still necessary to help overcome the effects of past discrimination and ensure that federal funds are not used to perpetuate exclusionary practices in the private contracting industry. During several recent reauthorizations of the substantive authority for federal agencies' contracting affirmative action programs, Congress compiled an extensive record on the continuing compelling government interest in the programs.

*The House rejected the amendment (126-284). A vote against the amendment was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 841 (8/4/2007).*

## Budget

### Fiscal 2008 Budget Resolution (H. Con. Res. 99)

In March 2007, the House adopted a budget resolution that included up to \$451.1 billion in non-defense discretionary spending for fiscal 2008. It would allow for \$82.3 billion in discretionary budget authority for education, training, employment and social services programs, \$54.2 billion in budget authority for discretionary health programs, and \$44.7 billion in budget authority for federal judicial and law enforcement activities.

LCCR supported the budget resolution because it provided long-overdue increases in crucial domestic programs without including fiscally irresponsible tax breaks. The budget included \$7.9 billion more than the president's budget for education, a \$50 billion increase over five years for the State Children's Health Insurance

Program (enough to cover 5.1 million more uninsured children), would help establish an affordable housing fund, increase the LIHEAP program that helps people with low incomes afford to heat their homes, and expand nutrition programs, including the Food Stamp program.

*The House passed the bill (216-210). A vote for the bill was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 864 (3/29/2007).*

## Education

### Head Start Reauthorization (H.R. 1429)

In May 2007, Rep. McKeon, (R-CA) filed a motion to recommit the Head Start reauthorization bill with instructions to amend the bill to allow religious organizations that participate in Head Start the right to take religion into account in staffing decisions. Religious organizations already have an exemption under Title VII to discriminate based on religion when using private funds; however, they cannot do so for jobs being paid for with government money.

LCCR strongly supports Head Start, but opposed the motion because we believe that federal funds can never be used to discriminate against anyone based on religion, race, ethnicity, or gender. The amendment could open the door to federally-funded discrimination, as well as go so far as to allow religious providers of Head Start programs to bar participation by parent volunteers of a different faith than the provider. Head Start is an effective program that has enjoyed widespread bipartisan support and this type of ideologically driven amendment would have divided Congress and threatened the viability of the program. Even the largest association of Head Start providers opposed reauthorization of the program if the amendment was included.

*The House rejected the motion (195-222). A vote against the motion was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 284 (5/2/2007).*

## Hate Crimes

### Local Law Enforcement Hate Crimes Prevention Act (H.R. 1592)

Current hate crimes law leaves federal prosecutors powerless to intervene in bias-motivated crimes when they cannot also establish that the crime was committed because of the victim's involvement in a "federally-protected activity" such as serving



on a jury, attending a public school, or voting. The Local Law Enforcement Hate Crimes Prevention Act (LLEHCPA) would enhance the federal response to hate crime violence by covering all violent crimes based on race, color, religion, or national origin. In addition, the LLEHCPA would permit federal involvement in the prosecution of bias-motivated crimes based on the victim's gender, sexual orientation, or disability. This expansion is critical in order to protect members of these groups from this most egregious form of discrimination. The bill would also authorize federal grants of \$5 million in Fiscal 2008 and 2009 to assist state and local law enforcement agencies in prosecuting violent hate crimes.

LCCR supported H.R. 1592 because hate crimes are serious, well-documented problems that remain inadequately prosecuted and recognized. LCCR believes that Congress must give law enforcement the tools it needs to combat this kind of violence and to help ensure that every American can live in an environment free of the terror brought on by hate violence.

*The LLEHCPA passed (237-180). A vote for it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 299 (May 3, 2007).*

## Employment

### Employment Non-Discrimination Act (H.R. 3685)

In November 2007, the House considered H.R. 3685, the "Employment Non-Discrimination Act of 2007" (ENDA). ENDA would, in most cases, make it illegal for an employer to fire, refuse to hire, or deny a promotion to a worker on the basis of sexual orientation.

LCCR supported the measure because like the Civil Rights Act of 1964, ENDA simply requires that workers be judged by ability, not identity. At the same time, LCCR was greatly disappointed that Congress did not consider H.R. 2015, a version of ENDA introduced earlier in the year, which also outlawed discrimination on the basis of gender identity. LCCR preferred H.R. 2015 because transgender people face even more severe discrimination – and have fewer remedies under state laws or corporate employment policies – than gay, lesbian, and bisexual people. But the narrower version of ENDA still represents a significant step forward in advancing civil rights in the workplace, and will help pave the way for continued progress.

*The House passed H.R. 3685 (235-184). A vote for it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 1057 (11/7/07).*

### Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act (H.R. 2831)

In *Ledbetter v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber*, decided on May 29, 2007, the Supreme Court held that the 180-day statute of limitations for Title VII pay discrimination cases should be calculated from the day a pay decision is made, rather than from when the employee is subject to that decision or injured by it. The Court's decision in this case was a sharp departure from precedent and would greatly limit the ability of pay discrimination victims to vindicate their rights. H.R. 2831 is a direct response to the *Ledbetter* case. It amends Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, and the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 to clarify that each time an employee receives a discriminatory paycheck, it is an act of discrimination actionable under the relevant statutes. Therefore, employees would be permitted to file charges of pay discrimination within 180 days of the last received paycheck affected by the alleged discriminatory decision.

LCCR supported H.R. 2831 because it is necessary to ensure that victims of workplace discrimination receive effective remedies. This bill makes clear that a pay discrimination claim accrues when a pay decision is made, when an employee is subject to that decision, or at any time they are injured by it.

*The Lilly Ledbetter Fair Pay Act passed (225-199). A vote for it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 768 (July 31, 2007)*

### Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2007 (H.R. 2)

This bill would increase the federal minimum wage by \$2.10 over two years, from the current level of \$5.15 an hour to \$7.25 an hour.

LCCR supported this bill, which provided the first minimum wage increase for working Americans since 1997. . Indeed, the real value of the existing federal minimum wage was the lowest it has been since 1951. Moreover, the ratio of the current minimum wage to the average hourly wage of

non-supervisory workers was 31 percent, its lowest level since World War II. Despite the fact that the bill called for a modest increase considering the rate of inflation since the last increase and cost-of-living in general, there was considerable resistance to its passage.

*The House agreed to final passage by a vote of 315-116. A vote in favor of the bill was recorded as a + vote. CQ Vote No. 18 (1/10/07).*

#### **Employee Free Choice Act of 2007 (H.R. 800)**

This bill would require employers to recognize unions whenever a majority of employees signed petitions reflecting their desire to form a union. It further establishes mediation and arbitration in first contract disputes at newly organized employers and provides additional damages for employees who experience retaliation because of their support for a union.

LCCR supported this bill because it would restore the freedom of workers to choose whether to form a union in an atmosphere free from employer coercion. Against a backdrop of intimidation and other improper tactics to undermine union support in the time period leading up to a secret ballot election, affording employees the right to choose a union through signing a petition is the only means to ensure they can express their free choice. Furthermore, this bill addresses employer tactics that also frustrate the desire of employees to be represented by a union. Employers frequently delay and ultimately refuse to come to terms on first contracts. This bill provides mechanisms to ensure a first contract is reached. The bill also increases penalties for unlawful discipline in retaliation for union support – a tactic which in the past employers have readily engaged in because penalties have been too slight.

*The House passed the bill by a final vote of 185-241. A vote in favor of HR 800 was recorded as a + vote. CQ Vote No. 118 (3/1/07).*

#### **Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act, 2008 (H.R. 2638), Amendment to Prohibit Davis-Bacon Prevailing Wage Requirement to be Applied to Projects Funded by Bill (H.AMDT 297)**

This amendment to the Homeland Security Appropriations bill would have removed the Davis-Bacon Act requirement that

locally prevailing wages be paid on federal construction projects funded by the bill.

LCCR supports the protections of the Davis-Bacon Act, which promote safety, along with a stable income, for workers in the construction industry. The Davis-Bacon Act provides that government contractors cannot undercut local construction wages by paying a lower rate. This concern is significant because government projects are often of enormous scale and can, in and of themselves, dramatically lower the local wage rate. By keeping rates at the prevailing local levels, Davis-Bacon ensures a decent standard of living for construction workers. This amendment would have exempted major projects from the Davis-Bacon requirement and would have paved the way for further exemptions in appropriations bills.

*The House rejected the amendment by a vote of 145-277. A vote against the bill is recorded as a +. CQ Vote No. 488 (6/15/07).*

### **Health**

#### **Children's Health and Medicare Protection Act (H.R. 3162)**

In August 2007, the House passed the Children's Health and Medicare Protection Act (CHAMP Act), which would have authorized roughly \$86 billion – an increase of \$50 billion – over five years for the State Children's Health Insurance Program and made several changes to Medicare and other related programs. The bill would have expanded the federal subsidy program for low-income Medicare beneficiaries, eliminated the late enrollment penalty in the Medicare prescription drug program, and made permanent the program that helps low-income people pay their Medicare premiums. The bill would have guaranteed dental coverage for children enrolled in the program and required parity for mental-health coverage. The bill also included the Legal Immigrant Children's Health Improvement Act (LICHIA), which would have ended the five-year ban on covering legal immigrant children under SCHIP and Medicaid.

The CHAMP Act also included provisions that would benefit all people by improving the overall quality and efficiency of the health care system. CHAMP would fund a non-profit organization to develop and promote consensus-based quality measures and advance the use of electronic health records;

establish a commission to promote objective research comparing drugs and other treatments for specific conditions to determine which are the most effective; and promote better understanding of racial and ethnic disparities in health care.

LCCR supported the CHAMP Act because the increased funding in the bill would have expanded health insurance coverage to 5.1 million uninsured children and improved the overall quality and efficiency of the health care system, benefiting all Americans. The bill's cost would have been offset by raising the federal tobacco tax by 45 cents a pack, reducing federal payments to insurers participating in the Medicare Advantage program, phasing out over four years subsidies to insurers who charge more than Medicare reimbursement rates and reducing the allowable growth rate for Medicare physician payments to 2.5 percent, freezing it after 2012.

*The House passed the bill (225-204). A vote for the bill was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 787 (8/1/2007).*

## Housing

### Consumer Protections for High-Cost Mortgage Loans (H.R. 3915)

During consideration of H.R. 3915, the "Mortgage Reform and Anti-Predatory Lending Act of 2007," Rep. Patrick McHenry (R-NC) offered an amendment to strike Title III of the bill. Title III creates new strong consumer protections for mortgages that include especially high levels of points and fees, interest rates, or prepayment penalties. It would prohibit balloon fees, excessive late charges, and some other abusive charges.

LCCR opposed the McHenry amendment. While LCCR had a number of concerns about H.R. 3915, because portions of it contain weak enforcement provisions and it preempts stronger laws in a number of states, Title III of the bill is clearly a significant step forward in reducing abusive lending practices. It is fashioned after consumer protections under North Carolina law, which have been demonstrated to reduce fee-gouging and equity stripping while still preserving access to responsible subprime loans. Eliminating Title III from the bill would leave borrowers – especially racial and ethnic minorities, who are more likely to be steered into high-cost loans – more vulnerable to predatory lending tactics.

*The House defeated the McHenry amendment (168-245). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 1116 (11/15/2007).*

## Poverty/Welfare

### Gulf Coast Hurricane Recovery Act of 2007

This bill provides comprehensive housing relief for the areas of the Gulf Coast affected by recent hurricanes. The measure will provide increased flexibility for already allocated funds and new oversight of existing problems, preserves public housing and assists evacuees with rental housing, and provides support for landlords and local communities who assisted evacuees with housing.

LCCR supported this bill, which was designed to mitigate the terrible impact of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma on vulnerable low-income victims. Among other things, the bill would speed up the repair and rebuilding of homes and affordable rental housing to the displaced. The bill would also ensure continued rental assistance for families that have moved back to their homes areas, as well as for families displaced by these hurricanes; and provide reimbursements to communities and landlords that were generous in providing assistance to hurricane evacuees in the aftermath of the storms.

*The House agreed to final passage by a vote of (302-125). A vote in favor of the bill was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 172 (03/21/2007).*



## Senate Votes

**Immigration****State & Local Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws (S. 1348)**

During consideration of S. 1348, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007,” Sen. Norm Coleman, R. Minn., offered an amendment that would eliminate state and local law enforcement policies that prohibit officers from asking the people they protect and serve about their immigration status.

LCCR opposed the Coleman amendment. Many police departments have policies of not asking about immigration status because they know, from experience, that doing so interferes with their efforts to maintain public health and safety. Immigrants who live in fear of the police or other government employees are far less likely to report crimes, seek medical assistance, or otherwise cooperate on matters that affect their communities. Eliminating such policies would only drive immigrants further underground. It would also encourage racial and ethnic profiling, as individuals – immigrants and citizens alike – who look or sound “foreign” are invariably far more likely to be interrogated about their immigration status.

*The Coleman amendment was defeated (48-49). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 177 (5/24/07).*

**Expanded Grounds for Deportation (S. 1348)**

During consideration of S. 1348, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007,” Sen. John Cornyn, R. Texas, offered an amendment which, among other things, would expand the list of criminal offenses that trigger mandatory deportation. It would apply these changes retroactively. It would also bar undocumented immigrants from taking advantage of the “earned legalization” provisions of S. 1348.

LCCR opposed the Cornyn amendment. It would expand the definition of the term “aggravated felony,” a term of art in immigration law which, since it was drastically expanded in 1996, already includes many offenses that are neither “aggravated” nor even “felonies.” Offenses that fall under the definition (which can include petty shoplifting, for example)

now subject legal resident immigrants to automatic, lifetime deportation. While deportation is certainly an appropriate response to most immigrants who commit crimes in our country, the “aggravated felony” label prevents a judge from considering mitigating circumstances – leading to the same kinds of extremely harsh punishments that result from mandatory minimum sentencing laws. The amendment would also apply the changes retroactively, so that immigrants with old offenses, who repaid their debts to society long ago, would face automatic deportation. Finally, by making undocumented immigrants ineligible for earned legalization, the Cornyn amendment would essentially gut one of the most important features of the underlying bill.

*The Cornyn amendment was defeated (46-51). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 187 (6/6/07).*

**“Official English” Amendment (S. 1348)**

During consideration of S. 1348, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007,” Sen. Jim Inhofe, R. Okla., offered an amendment declaring that, unless required by law, no individual would have a right to demand services or materials from federal agencies in any language other than English.

LCCR opposed the Inhofe amendment. While it would not have expressly prohibited federal agencies from voluntarily providing bilingual services or materials, it would likely discourage them from doing so. This could have troubling consequences, when, for instance, the federal government tries to convey important public safety and health information. In addition to practical concerns, the Inhofe amendment would do nothing to advance the worthwhile goal encouraging the greater use of English. The proper way to advance this goal is to provide more opportunities, through additional ESL classes and resources, for new immigrants to learn the language.

*The Senate agreed to the Inhofe amendment (64-33). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 198 (6/7/07).*

### **Comprehensive Immigration Reform (S. 1348)**

During consideration of S. 1348, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007,” the leadership moved to limit further debate by opponents of the measure and proceed to a vote on final passage. This is known as a motion to invoke cloture, and under Senate rules, it requires 60 votes.

LCCR supported the motion. While LCCR had a number of serious concerns about S. 1348 – including a very troubling immigrant guestworker program and its elimination of several family-based visa categories in favor of a radical new “point system” – the overall goals of comprehensive immigration reform were too important for Congress to fail to act on the issue. Without comprehensive reform, our nation’s immigration system will remain unable to keep up with economic realities, provide an orderly way to keep track of who is here, or give people enough incentives to play by the rules.

*The motion to invoke cloture on S. 1348 failed (45-50). A subsequent attempt to invoke cloture on a similar bill (S. 1639) also failed, several weeks later. A vote in favor of the motion was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 206 (6/7/07).*

### **Amendment Regarding the REAL ID Act (S. 1639)**

During consideration of S. 1639, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007” (a successor to S. 1348, a measure that had been blocked several weeks earlier by immigration restrictionists), Sen. Max Baucus, D. Mont., offered an amendment that would delete several provisions in the bill that relied on the REAL ID Act of 2005. The leadership moved to table, or kill, this amendment.

LCCR opposed the motion to table the Baucus amendment. LCCR strongly opposed the REAL ID Act, an extremely burdensome and potentially discriminatory federal ID law, and opposed its proposed expansion in S. 1639. Under S. 1639, all employers would be required to verify both the identity and the employment eligibility of all potential employees (citizens and immigrants alike). Beginning in 2013, for most Americans, this requirement could only be satisfied by presenting a REAL ID card or a U.S. passport. A growing number of states, however, have stated that they will not comply with the REAL ID Act, due to its outrageously high costs and administrative burdens.

As a result, Americans living in such states would literally no longer be able to obtain new jobs in 2013 unless they (a) obtained U.S. passports (which currently cost \$97) or (b) joined the estimated twelve million “undocumented workers” already present in our country. For these reasons, LCCR supported the Baucus amendment and opposed the motion to table it.

*The motion to table the Baucus amendment failed (45-52). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 234 (6/27/07).*

## **Voting Rights**

### **Voting Rights for District of Columbia Residents (S. 1257)**

In September 2007, the Senate leadership moved to proceed to consideration of S. 1257, the “District of Columbia House Voting Rights Act of 2007” (“DC VRA”). The DC VRA would provide District of Columbia residents with a voting member in the U.S. House of Representatives. D.C. residents are currently represented by a nonvoting delegate. At the same time, the DC VRA would provide Utah with an additional House seat, increasing its delegation from three to four members. This increase, in addition to keeping either political party from gaining an advantage in Congress from the bill, would ensure that the Utah congressional delegation more accurately reflects the size of the state’s population.

LCCR supported the measure. The right to vote for those who make and enforce laws – the antidote to the evil of “taxation without representation” – is the most important right that citizens have in any democracy. Yet for more than 200 years, and even though they pay taxes and meet all the other responsibilities of citizenship, D.C. residents have been denied this right. In addition, since the last reapportionment of House seats in 2001, because Utah’s population was not properly counted in the 2000 census, its citizens have not been represented as fully as they deserve. The DC VRA would address both problems, and would do so in a constitutional manner. The House had already passed a similar bill (H.R. 1905).

Opponents of S. 1257 sought to block the Senate from

moving forward with consideration of the bill. Under Senate rules, overcoming such an attempt (by invoking “cloture”) requires a 60-vote margin.

*The motion to invoke cloture on the motion to proceed to S. 1257 failed (57-42). A vote for it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 339 (9/18/07).*

### **Photo ID Requirement for Voting (S. 1348)**

During consideration of S. 1348, the “Comprehensive Immigration Reform Act of 2007,” Sen. Mitch McConnell, R. Ky., offered an amendment that would require voters, beginning with the 2008 election, to present government-issued photo identification before voting.

LCCR opposed the McConnell amendment. As our nation recognized when it eliminated poll taxes, no citizen should have to pay to vote. Many individuals do not have a photo ID or the time and money to obtain it. While the McConnell amendment authorized funds to help states give free ID to voters who cannot afford it, the funds were not guaranteed, and the provision would not have addressed other burdens involved in obtaining ID. Furthermore, photo ID requirements disproportionately affect racial and ethnic minorities, who are less likely to have photo ID, and they also give poll workers an unacceptable level of discretion, opening the door to discrimination at the polls.

*The McConnell amendment was defeated (41-52). A vote against it was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 184 (6/5/07).*

### **Budget**

#### **Fiscal 2008 Budget Resolution (S. Con. Res. 21)**

In March 2007, the Senate adopted a budget resolution that included substantial increases for education, health, and housing programs. The resolution provided \$6.1 billion more than the president’s budget for education funding, including new resources for the No Child Left Behind Act, the Individuals with Disabilities in Education Act, and Pell grants. The budget resolution also allowed for increasing spending on the SCHIP program by \$50 billion over five years, enough to cover an additional 5.1 million uninsured children. It would also help establish an affordable housing fund, increase the LIHEAP program that helps people with low incomes afford to heat their

homes, and expand nutrition programs, including the Food Stamp program.

LCCR supported the budget resolution because it provided long-overdue increases in crucial domestic programs without including fiscally irresponsible tax breaks.

*The Senate passed the bill (52-47). A vote for the bill was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 114 (3/23/2007).*

### **Education**

#### **Access to Education, DREAM Act (S. 2205)**

In October 2007, the Senate considered the Development, Relief, and Education for Alien Minors Act, known as the DREAM Act. Beneficiaries of the bill would be individuals who were brought into the country as children, too young to have had any control over the decision to enter the U.S. illegally. The bill would provide a six-year path to legal status starting after high school graduation for individuals brought to the United States more than five years prior to enactment of the law. To qualify for a green card an applicant would have to demonstrate good moral character; and within the six-year period either graduate from community college, complete two years toward a four-year degree, or serve at least two years in the U.S. military.

LCCR supported the bill because it would allow immigrants who worked hard and stayed in school the opportunity to continue their education or public service in the U.S. military. Immigrants who came to the country as children and were raised here are extremely unlikely to leave the country that they know as their home. Rather than drive these people into the underground economy, the DREAM Act is a focused and practical way to ensure that they can fully contribute to American society by attaining an education or through military service. While a majority of the Senate supported this common sense approach, opponents forced a cloture vote, which requires 60 votes to pass, successfully preventing the Senate from voting on final passage of the bill.

*The Senate rejected the cloture motion (52-44). A vote for the cloture motion was counted as a + vote. Roll Call No. 394 (10/24/2007).*

## Employment

### Employee Free Choice Act of 2007 (H.R. 800)

This bill would require employers to recognize unions whenever a majority of employees signed petitions reflecting their desire to form a union. It further establishes mediation and arbitration in first contract disputes at newly organized employers and provides additional damages for employees who experience retaliation because of their support for a union.

LCCR supported this bill because it would restore the freedom of workers to choose whether to form a union in an atmosphere free from employer coercion. Against a backdrop of intimidation and other improper tactics to undermine union support in the time period leading up to a secret ballot election, affording employees the right to choose a union through signing a petition is the only means to ensure they can express their free choice. Furthermore, this bill addresses employer tactics that also frustrate the desire of employees to be represented by a union. Employers frequently delay and ultimately refuse to come to terms on first contracts. This bill provides mechanisms to ensure a first contract is reached. The bill also increases penalties for unlawful discipline in retaliation for union support – a tactic that in the past employers have readily engaged in because penalties have been too slight.

*The Senate rejected a motion to invoke cloture by a vote of 51-48. A vote for cloture is recorded as a +. CQ Vote No. 227 (6/26/07).*

## Labor/Working Families

### Fair Minimum Wage Act of 2007 (H.R. 2)

This bill would increase the federal minimum wage by \$2.10 over two years, from the current level of \$5.15 an hour to \$7.25 an hour.

LCCR supported an increase in the minimum wage, the first such increase for working Americans since 1997. The increase was a modest one relative to the rate of inflation since the last increase. LCCR supported this bill because it benefited the many working people who struggled to make ends meet and raise families at the previous minimum wage rate. Despite the pressing importance of this bill for working people, many senators insisted that the bill contain business tax cuts. The effort to pass a bill without business tax cuts failed to garner the

60 votes needed to cut off debate (cloture). Ultimately, the bill was enacted into law without the business tax cuts.

*The Senate rejected the motion to invoke cloture by a 54-43 vote. A vote in favor of the motion is recorded as a +. CQ Vote No. 23(1/24/07).*

## Judiciary/Legal Rights

### Nomination of Leslie H. Southwick to the Fifth Circuit

This was a vote on a motion to invoke cloture on the nomination of Leslie H. Southwick to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, following his being narrowly voted out of committee.

LCCR strongly opposed Leslie Southwick's nomination. While a judge on Mississippi state court, Judge Southwick joined or authored opinions that cast doubt on his commitment to fairness and equal justice in cases involving minorities, gays and lesbians, and workers and consumers. His record was all the more troubling given that the Fifth Circuit has the highest percentage of minority residents of the federal circuits. Unfortunately, some senators placed undue hope in his personal qualities and his professed commitment to fairness, while ignoring his judicial record, and voted for cloture, allowing his confirmation to a lifetime seat on the Fifth Circuit.

*The Senate invoked cloture by a 62-35 vote. A vote against cloture is recorded as a +. CQ Vote No. 392 (10/24/07).*

### Nomination of Michael B. Mukasey to be Attorney General of the United States

Judge Mukasey was nominated to replace Alberto Gonzales as the next attorney general, the nation's chief law enforcement officer, who is charged with heading the Department of Justice and enforcing and interpreting many civil and human rights laws.

LCCR opposed the nomination of Judge Mukasey following his Senate hearing, because he was unable to commit to the established proposition that waterboarding was torture, he advocated an expansive view of executive power, and he showed little appreciation for the Department of Justice's civil rights goals. Many hoped Judge Mukasey would be an

independent choice who would stand up to the administration on its controversial policies, which had been sanctioned by his predecessor. But his answers to questions suggested either that he lacked such independence or that his views aligned perfectly with the administration's divisive views.

*The Senate confirmed the nominee by a 53-40 vote. A vote against the nomination is recorded as a +. CQ Vote No. 407 (11/8/07).*



CO Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
<b>Alabama</b>																	
Aderholt (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Bachus, S. (R)	7%	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bonner (R)	15%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Cramer (D)	67%	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
Davis, A. (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Everett (R)	15%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	X	-	X
Rogers, Mike D. (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
<b>Alaska</b>																	
Young, D. (R)	33%	27%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	X	+	-	X	X	-	-
<b>Arizona</b>																	
Flake (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Franks, T. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Giffords (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+
Grijalva (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Michell (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Pastor (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Renzi (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Shadegg (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Arkansas</b>																	
Berry (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Boozman (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Ross (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
Snyder (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>California</b>																	
Baca (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CO Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report					Total									
Becerra (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Berman (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bilbray (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bono Mack (R)	29%	27%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	X
Calvert (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Campbell (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Capps (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cardoza (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Costa (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Davis, S. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Doolittle (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dreier (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Eshoo (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Farr (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Filner (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Galleghy (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harman (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Herger (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Honda (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hunter (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	X	-	-	X	-	-	-
Issa (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lantos (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Lee (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lewis, Jerry (R)	13%	13%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Lofgren (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lungren (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CQ Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report														
		Total														
Marsui (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCarthy, K. (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
McKeon (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McNerney (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Millender-McDonald (D)	100%	20%	+	+	+	X	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Miller, George (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Miller, Gary (R)	0%	0%	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napolitano (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nunes (R)	7%	7%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pelosi (D)	100%	47%	+	+	X	X	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	X	+	X
Radanovich (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Richardson (D)	100%	20%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	+	+
Rohrabacher (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roybal-Allard (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Royce (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Sanchez, Linda (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sanchez, Loreta (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+
Schiff (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sherman (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Solis (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stark (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Tauscher (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Thompson, M. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Waters (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Watson (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Waxman (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Woolsey (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
<b>Colorado</b>																	
DeGette (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lamborn (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Musgrave (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perlmutter (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Salazar, J. (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Tancredo (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	-	X	-	X	X	-	-
Udall, M. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Connecticut</b>																	
Courtney (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DeLauro (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Larson, J. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Murphy, C. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shays (R)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	(+)	+	+	+	(+)	+	-
<b>Delaware</b>																	
Castle (R)	60%	60%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
<b>Florida</b>																	
Bittrakis (R)	27%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
Boyd, A. (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	(+)	X	+
Brown, C. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brown-Waite, G. (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Buchanan (R)	20%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Castor (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+
Crenshaw (R)	7%	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	X	-	-	-
Diaz-Balart, L. (R)	60%	60%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-

CQ Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report														
	Total															
Diaz-Balart, M. (R)	60%	60%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
Feeney (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hastings, A. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Keller (R)	13%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Klein, R. (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	+
Mack (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X
Mahoney (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Meek, K. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mica (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-
Miller, J. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Putnam (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ros-Lehtinen (R)	60%	60%	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
Stearns (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Wasserman Schultz (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Weldon (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wexler (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Young, C.W. (R)	21%	20%	+	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
<b>Georgia</b>																
Barrow (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bishop, S. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Broun (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Deal (R)	0%	0%	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X
Gingrey (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnson, H. (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kingston (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Lewis, John (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+



CO Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report Total														
Linder (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Marshall (D)	67%	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
Norwood (R)	0%	(-)	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Price, T. (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scott, D. (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Westmoreland (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	X	(-)
<b>Hawaii</b>																
Abercrombie (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hirono (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Idaho</b>																
Sali (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Simpson (R)	27%	+	-	+	-	(-)	(-)	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
<b>Illinois</b>																
Bean (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bigert (R)	47%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Costello (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Davis, Danny (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Emanuel (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Guierrez (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	X	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hare (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hastert (R)	8%	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	+	-	-	-	X	X	-	-
Jackson, J. (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Johnson, Timothy (R)	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Kirk (R)	53%	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
LaHood (R)	64%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	X	X	+	X	+	X	-
Lipinski (D)	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Manzullo (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roskam (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rush (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Schakowsky (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shimkus (R)	29%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	X	-	-
Weller (R)	27%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	(+)	-	(-)
<b>Indiana</b>																	
Burton (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Buyer (R)	15%	13%	X	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	X	-
Carson (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	X
Donnelly (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
Ellsworth (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	(+)	+	+
Hill (D)	79%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	X	+	+
Pence (R)	7%	7%	-	-	X	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Souder (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Visclosky (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Iowa</b>																	
Boswell (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bralley (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+
King, S. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Latham (R)	13%	13%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Loeback (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Kansas</b>																	
Boyd, N. (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
Moore, D. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Moran, Jerry (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Tiahrt (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
<b>Kentucky</b>																	
Chandler (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Davis, G. (R)	13%	13%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lewis, R. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rogers, H. (R)	27%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
Whitfield (R)	20%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Yarmuth (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Louisiana</b>																	
Alexander, R. (R)	20%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Baker (R)	7%	7%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Boustany (R)	7%	7%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jefferson (D)	100%	93%	+	(+)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+
Jindal (R)	18%	13%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	X
McCrey (R)	27%	27%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
Melancon (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
<b>Maine</b>																	
Allen (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Michaud (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	-	+
<b>Maryland</b>																	
Bartlett (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cummings (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gilchrest (R)	57%	53%	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	X	-	+	+	+	-
Hoyer (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ruppersberger (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sarbanes (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Van Hollen (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wynn (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Massachusetts</b>																	
Capano (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X
Delahunt (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Frank, B. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lynch (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Markey (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McGovern (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Meehan (D)	100%	53%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Neal (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Olver (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+
Tierney (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tsongas (D)	100%	13%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	+	+
<b>Michigan</b>																	
Camp (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Conyers (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Dingell (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ehlers (R)	20%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Hoekstra (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kildee (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kilpatrick (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Knollenberg (R)	27%	27%	(+)	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Levin, S. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCortner (R)	33%	33%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Miller, C. (R)	27%	27%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-

CO Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Rogers, Mike (R)	13%	13%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Stupak (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Upton (R)	33%	33%	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Walberg (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Minnesota</b>																	
Bachmann (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ellison (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kline, J. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McCollum (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Oberstar (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	X
Peterson, C. (D)	86%	80%	+	+	+	X	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ramstad (R)	33%	33%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Walz (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Mississippi</b>																	
Pickering (R)	17%	13%	-	(-)	+	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	+	X	-	-
Taylor (D)	53%	53%	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
Thompson, B. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Missouri</b>																	
Akin (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)
Blunt (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carnahan (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Clay (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Cleaver (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Emerson (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Graves (R)	8%	7%	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Hulshof (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	(+)	-	-



CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Skelton (D)	86%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	X	+	-	+
<b>Montana</b>																	
Rehberg (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
<b>Nebraska</b>																	
Fortenberry (R)	20%	20%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Smith, Adrian (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Terry (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<b>Nevada</b>																	
Berkley (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Heller (R)	14%	13%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	X
Porter (R)	53%	53%	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
<b>New Hampshire</b>																	
Hodes (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shea-Porter (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>New Jersey</b>																	
Andrews (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	(+)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Ferguson (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
Frelinghuysen (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Garrett (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Holt (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X
Lobiondo (R)	67%	67%	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
Pallone (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Pascarell (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Payne (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rothman (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Saxton (R)	64%	60%	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	X	+	+	+

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Sires (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Smith, C. (R)	60%	60%	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
<b>New Mexico</b>																	
Pearce (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	X	-	-
Udall, T. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wilson, H. (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
<b>New York</b>																	
Ackerman (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Arcuri (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Bishop, T. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Clarke (D)	91%	67%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	X	X	X	+	-	+
Crowley (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Engel (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Fossella (R)	36%	33%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	X	-	-	-	+	+	-
Gillibrand (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hall, J. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Higgins (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hinchey (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Israel (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
King P. (R)	33%	33%	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Kuhl (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
Lowey (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Maloney (D)	100%	93%	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McCarthy, C. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McHugh (R)	53%	53%	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
McNulty (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CQ Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report														
		Total														
Meeks, G. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Nadler (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rangel (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Reynolds (R)	20%	20%	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	(+)	-	-
Serrano (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Slaughter (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Towns (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Velazquez (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Walsh (R)	46%	40%	+	+	+	X	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	X	-	-
Weiner (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	X
<b>North Carolina</b>																
Butterfield (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Coble (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-
Etheridge (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X
Foxx (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hayes (R)	21%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	+	-	-
Jones, W. (R)	27%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
McHenry (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McIntyre (D)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
Miller, B. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Myrick (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Price, D. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shuler (D)	60%	60%	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+
Watt (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>North Dakota</b>																
Pomroy (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CO Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
<b>Ohio</b>																	
Boehner (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chabot (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Gillmor (R)	25%	20%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	I	I	I
Hobson (R)	27%	27%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Jordan (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kaptur (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kucinich (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X
LaTourrette (R)	53%	53%	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Latta (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Pryce, D. (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Regula (R)	27%	27%	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Ryan, T. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Schmidt (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Space (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sutron (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Tiberi (R)	20%	20%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Tubbs Jones (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	X
Turner (R)	33%	33%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
Wilson, C. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Oklahoma</b>																	
Boren (D)	50%	47%	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Cole (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Fallin (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Lucas (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Sullivan (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	X

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
<b>Oregon</b>																	
Blumenauer (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DeFazio (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Hooley (D)	93%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Walden (R)	47%	47%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Wu (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Pennsylvania</b>																	
Altmire (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Brady, R. (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Carney (D)	80%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Dent (R)	53%	53%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Doyle (D)	100%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	X
English (R)	53%	53%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Farrah (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	(+)	X	(+)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Getlach (R)	53%	53%	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
Holden (D)	86%	80%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Kanjorski (D)	86%	80%	+	+	X	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Murphy, P. (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Murphy, T. (R)	40%	40%	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Murtha (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Peterson, J. (R)	13%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Pitts (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Platts (R)	47%	47%	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Schwartz (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sestak (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Shuster (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
<b>Rhode Island</b>																	
Kennedy, P. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Langevin (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>South Carolina</b>																	
Barrett (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	-	-
Brown, H. (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Clyburn (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Inglis (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Sprat (D)	92%	80%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	X
Wilson, J. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>South Dakota</b>																	
Herseht Sandlin (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Tennessee</b>																	
Blackburn (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-
Cohen (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cooper (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
Davis, David (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Davis, L. (D)	67%	67%	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
Duncan (R)	13%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
Gordon (D)	73%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
Tanner (D)	79%	73%	+	+	+	+	+	X	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
W'amp (R)	13%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
<b>Texas</b>																	
Barton (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Brady, K. (R)	8%	7%	-	-	+	-	-	-	X	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Burgess (R)	14%	13%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	+	-	-	-

CQ Vote Number:		18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Report														
	Total															
Carter (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-
Conaway (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cuellar (D)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Culbertson (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Doggett (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Edwards (D)	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Gohmert (R)	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gonzalez (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Granger (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-
Green, A. (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Green, G. (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+
Hall, R. (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hensarling (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hinojosa (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+
Jackson Lee (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Johnson, S. (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	-	-
Johnson, E. (D)	100%	+	+	X	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Lampson (D)	75%	+	+	+	X	X	X	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+
Marchant (R)	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
McCaul (R)	13%	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Neugebauer (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ortiz (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	X	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Paul (R)	0%	-	-	-	-	X	X	X	X	X	-	-	X	X	X	X
Poe (R)	13%	+	(-)	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reyes (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rodriguez (D)	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Sessions, P. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smith, L. (R)	13%	13%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(+)	-	-
Thornberry (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Utah</b>																	
Bishop, R. (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Cannon (R)	13%	13%	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Matheson (D)	87%	87%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Vermont</b>																	
Welch (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Virginia</b>																	
Boucher (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cantor (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Davis, J. (R)	100%	7%	+	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	I	I
Davis, T. (R)	33%	33%	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
Drake (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
Forbes (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-
Goode (R)	7%	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	-	-
Goodlatte (R)	7%	7%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moran, James (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Scott, R. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wittman (R)	0%	0%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Wolf (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
<b>Washington</b>																	
Baird (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Dicks (D)	100%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+
Hastings, D. (R)	0%	0%	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



CQ Vote Number:			18	118	172	231	284	299	485	488	734	768	787	841	864	1057	1116
Base Position:**	All	Report	Yea	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay
	Positions	Total															
Inslee (D)	100%	93%	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	+	+	+
Larsen, R. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
McDermott (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+
McMorris Rodgers (R)	8%	7%	-	-	+	-	X	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reichert (R)	60%	60%	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	(+)	+	-
Smith, Adam (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>West Virginia</b>																	
Capito (R)	40%	40%	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
Mollohan (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Rahall (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
<b>Wisconsin</b>																	
Baldwin (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kagen (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kind (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Moore, G. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Obey (D)	93%	93%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Petri (R)	20%	20%	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Ryan, P. (R)	27%	27%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
Sensenbrenner (R)	7%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<b>Wyoming</b>																	
Cubin (R)	0%	0%	-	X	-	X	X	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	X	X	X

CQ Vote Number: Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Fair Minimum Wage	Senate Budget Resolution	Police Enforce. Imm.	Photo ID	Cornyn Amend. - Deportation	Official English Amend.	Comp. Imm. Reform	EFCA	Real ID	DC VRA	Southwick	DREAM Act	Mukasey
			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407
Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	
<b>Alabama</b>															
Sessions, J. (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
Shelby (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	
<b>Alaska</b>															
Murkowski (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stevens (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<b>Arizona</b>															
Kyl (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
McCain (R)	22%	15%	-	-	-	X	+	-	+	-	X	-	-	X	
<b>Arkansas</b>															
Lincoln (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	
Pryor (D)	62%	62%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	
<b>California</b>															
Boxer (D)	82%	69%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	X	+	
Feinstein (D)	77%	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	
<b>Colorado</b>															
Allard (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salazar, K. (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	
<b>Connecticut</b>															
Dodd (D)	88%	54%	+	+	+	X	+	X	+	+	-	+	X	X	
Lieberman (I)	77%	77%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	
<b>Delaware</b>															
Biden (D)	100%	85%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	(+)	
Carper (D)	67%	62%	X	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	

Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
CQ Vote Number:			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407		
Fair Minimum Wage			-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Senate Budget Resolution			+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Police Enforce. Imm.			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Photo ID			-	-	-	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Cornyn Amend. - Deportation			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Official English Amend.			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Comp. Imm. Reform			+	+	+	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
EFCA			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Real ID			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DC VRA			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Southwick			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
DREAM Act			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mukasey			-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Florida																	
Martinez (R)	31%	31%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nelson, Bill (D)	77%	77%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Georgia																	
Chambliss (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Isakson (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii																	
Akaka (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Inouye (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+
Idaho																	
Craig (R)	23%	23%	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
Crapo (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Illinois																	
Durbin (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Obama (D)	100%	85%	+	+	+	X	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	X
Indiana																	
Bayh (D)	85%	85%	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
Lugar (R)	38%	38%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Iowa																	
Grassley (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harkin (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kansas																	
Brownback (R)	9%	8%	(-)	-	(-)	X	-	-	X	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Roberts (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kentucky																	
Bunning (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
McConnell (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
CQ Vote Number:			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407
Fair Minimum Wage			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-
Senate Budget Resolution				+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
Police Enforce. Imm.					-										
Photo ID						+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-
Cornyn Amend. - Deportation							+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+
Official English Amend.								+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Comp. Imm. Reform									+	+	+	+	+	+	+
EFCA										+	+	+	+	+	+
Real ID											+	+	+	+	+
DC VRA												+	+	+	+
Southwick													+	+	+
DREAM Act														+	+
Mukasey															+
<b>Louisiana</b>															
Landrieu (D)	54%	54%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
Vitter (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<b>Maine</b>															
Collins (R)	46%	46%	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
Snowe (R)	54%	54%	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-
<b>Maryland</b>															
Cardin (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Mikulski (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Massachusetts</b>															
Kennedy, E. (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	(+)	(+)	+
Kerry (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Michigan</b>															
Levin, C. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Stabenow (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Minnesota</b>															
Coleman (R)	23%	23%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-
Klobuchar (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+
<b>Mississippi</b>															
Cochran (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lott (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
<b>Missouri</b>															
Bond (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
McCaskill (D)	69%	69%	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+

Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
CQ Vote Number:			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407	
Fair Minimum Wage			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Senate Budget Resolution			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Police Enforce. Imm.			-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Photo ID			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Cornyn Amend. - Deportation			-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Official English Amend.			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Comp. Imm. Reform			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
EFCA			+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Real ID			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
DC VRA			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Southwick			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
DREAM Act			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Mukasey			-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Montana</b>																
Baucus, M. (D)	54%	54%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
Tester (D)	62%	62%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Nebraska</b>																
Hagel (R)	38%	38%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
Nelson, Ben (D)	62%	62%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Nevada</b>																
Ensign (R)	0%	0%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
Reid, H. (D)	92%	92%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<b>New Hampshire</b>																
Gregg (R)	0%	0%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
Sununu (R)	15%	15%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
<b>New Jersey</b>																
Laurenberg (D)	100%	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
Mendez (D)	100%	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<b>New Mexico</b>																
Bingaman (D)	92%	92%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
Domenici (R)	23%	23%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
<b>New York</b>																
Clinton (D)	92%	85%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	X
Schumer (D)	85%	85%	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
<b>North Carolina</b>																
Burr (R)	0%	0%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-
Dole (R)	8%	8%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-

Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	
CQ Vote Number:			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407				
Fair Minimum Wage			+	+															
Senate Budget Resolution				+															
Police Enforce. Imm.					+														
Photo ID						+													
Cornyn Amend. - Deportation							+												
Official English Amend.								+											
Comp. Imm. Reform									+										
EFCA										+									
Real ID											+								
DC VRA												+							
Southwick													+						
DREAM Act														+					
Mukasey																			
<b>North Dakota</b>																			
Conrad (D)	69%	69%	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Dorgan (D)	54%	54%	+	+		+				+	+	+			+				+
<b>Ohio</b>																			
Brown, S. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Voinovich (R)	38%	38%	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<b>Oklahoma</b>																			
Coburn (R)	9%	8%	-	-	-	-	X	-	X	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inhofe (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Oregon</b>																			
Smith, G. (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyden (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
<b>Pennsylvania</b>																			
Casey (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Specter (R)	46%	46%	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
<b>Rhode Island</b>																			
Reed, J. (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Whitehouse (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<b>South Carolina</b>																			
DeMint (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graham (R)	23%	23%	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>South Dakota</b>																			
Johnson, Tim (D)	75%	23%	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Thune (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Tennessee</b>																			
Alexander, L. (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)
Corker (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Base Position:**	All Positions	Report Total	Yea	Yea	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Nay	Yea	Yea	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
CQ Vote Number:			23	114	177	184	187	198	206	227	234	339	392	394	407		
Fair Minimum Wage			+														
Senate Budget Resolution				+													
Police Enforce. Imm.					-												
Photo ID						+											
Cornyn Amend. - Deportation						-											
Official English Amend.						-											
Comp. Imm. Reform						-											
EFCA						-											
Real ID						-											
DC VRA						-											
Southwick						-											
DREAM Act						-											
Mukasey						-											
Texas																	
Cornyn (R)	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(-)
Hutchison (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah																	
Bennett (R)	15%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hatch (R)	23%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont																	
Leahy (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Sanders (I)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Virginia																	
Warner (R)	8%	8%	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Webb (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Washington																	
Canwell (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Murray (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
West Virginia																	
Byrd (D)	42%	38%	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	X	-	-	-	-	+
Rockefeller (D)	85%	85%	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wisconsin																	
Feingold (D)	100%	100%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Kohl (D)	92%	92%	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
Wyoming																	
Barrasso (R)	17%	8%	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enzi (R)	8%	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	X	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thomas (R)	0%	0%	-	-	X	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I

# LCCR Voting Record

## *110th United States Congress*

**Senior Editor:** Karen DeWitt, Nancy Zirkin  
**Associate Editor:** Tyler Lewis  
**Editorial Assistance:** Angela Okamura, Corrine Yu,  
Jessica Cooke  
**Layout and Design:** Juan Carlos Ibarra

LCCR is an independent 501(c)(4) organization that engages in legislative advocacy relevant to civil rights and social and economic justice.

Access this material online at [www.civilrights.org](http://www.civilrights.org)  
©2008 Leadership Conference on Civil Rights

**LC** Leadership Conference  
**CR** on Civil Rights

**Leadership Conference  
on Civil Rights**

1629 K Street, NW  
10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20008  
202.466.3434  
[www.civilrights.org](http://www.civilrights.org)